arXiv:math/0411475v2 [math.OA] 28 Mar 2005

NON-COMMUTATIVE METRICS ON MATRIX STATE SPACES

WEI WU

Abstract. We use the theory of quantization to introduce non-commutative versions of metric on state space and Lipschitz seminorm. We show that a lower semicontinuous matrix Lipschitz seminorm is determined by their matrix metrics on the matrix state spaces. A matrix metric comes from a lower semicontinuous matrix Lip-norm if and only if it is convex, midpoint balanced, and midpoint concave. The operator space of Lipschitz functions with a matrix norm coming from a closed matrix Lip-norm is the operator space dual of an operator space. They generalize Rieffel's results to the quantized situation.

1. Introduction

In the non-commutative geometry, the natural way to specify a metric is by means of a suitable "Lipschitz seminorm"[4, 5]. Given a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital C^*-algebra, D is the generalized Dirac operator on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $(\mathcal{H}, D)$ is an unbounded Fredholm module over $\mathcal{A}$. The set $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ of Lipschitz elements of $\mathcal{A}$ consists of those $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the commutator $[D, a]$ is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{H}$. The Lipschitz seminorm, L, is defined on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ just by the operator norm $L(a) = \|[D, a]\|$. Using L, Connes defined a metric on the state space $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ by the formula

$$\rho_L(\varphi, \psi) = \sup\{|\varphi(a) - \psi(a)| : a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}), L(a) \leq 1\}.$$

This metric generalizes the Monge-Kantorovich metric on probability measures.

In [14], Rieffel extended this idea to the order unit space and discussed the relationship between the metrics on the state space and Lipschitz seminorms. A *matrix order unit space* $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ is a matrix ordered space $\mathcal{V}$ together with a distinguished order unit 1 satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $\mathcal{V}^+$ is a proper cone with the order unit 1;
(2) each of the cones $M_n(\mathcal{V})^+$ is Archimedean.

The basic representation theorem of Kadison[11] says that any order unit space is order isomorphic to a subspace of $C(X)$, the continuous functions on a compact set X, closed under conjugation and containing the identity function. As the non-commutative analogues of Kadison's *function systems*, *operator systems*, a self-adjoint linear space of operators on a Hilbert space which contains the identity operator, have been characterized by Choi and Effros as matrix order unit spaces: every matrix order unit space is completely order isomorphic to an operator system[3]. It is therefore only natural to ask whether the metrics on the state space and Lipschitz seminorms generalize to this non-commutative setting, and in this paper we give an affirmative answer to this question.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L89, 46L87; Secondary 46L30.
Key words and phrases. Matrix order unit space, matrix Lipschitz seminorm, matrix state space, matrix metric.

We begin with the explanation of terminology and notation. We proceed by defining the non-commutative analogue of Lipschitz seminorm and discuss some elementary properties of it. The next step is to prove the non-commutative version of the fact that the lower semicontinuous Lipschitz seminorms are determined by their metrics on the state spaces. In section 5, we define the non-commutative analogue of the metric on compact convex sets, and discuss the relation of matrix metrics on matrix state space and matrix norms on dual operator space. Using the results of section 5, we prove, in section 6, that as in the commutative case, a matrix metric comes from a lower semicontinuous matrix Lip-norm if and only if it is convex, midpoint balanced, and midpoint concave. We conclude in section 7 with an operator analogue of the well-known result that the space of Lipschitz functions with a norm coming from some Lipschitz seminorm is the dual of a certain other Banach space.

2. Terminology and notation

All vector spaces are assumed to be complex throughout this paper. Given a vector space V, we let $M_{m,n}(V)$ denote the matrix space of all m by n matrices $v = [v_{ij}]$ with $v_{ij} \in V$, and we set $M_n(V) = M_{n,n}(V)$. If $V = \mathbb{C}$, we write $M_{m,n} = M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_n = M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$, which means that we may identify $M_{m,n}(V)$ with the tensor product $M_{m,n} \otimes V$. We identify $M_{m,n}$ with the normed space $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m)$. We use the standard matrix multiplication and *-operation for compatible scalar matrices, and 1_n for the identity matrix in M_n.

There are two natural operations on the matrix spaces. For $v \in M_{m,n}(V)$ and $w \in M_{p,q}(V)$, the direct sum $v \oplus w \in M_{m+p,n+q}(V)$ is defined by letting

$$v \oplus w = \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{bmatrix},$$

and if we are given $\alpha \in M_{m,p}$, $v \in M_{p,q}(V)$ and $\beta \in M_{q,n}$, the matrix product $\alpha v \beta \in M_{m,n}(V)$ is defined by

$$\alpha v \beta = \left[\sum_{k,l} \alpha_{ik} v_{kl} \beta_{lj} \right].$$

A *-*vector space* V is a complex vector space together with a conjugate linear mapping $v \longmapsto v^*$ such that $v^{**} = v$. A complex vector space V is said to be *matrix ordered* if:

(1) V is a *-vector space;
(2) each $M_n(V)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is partially ordered;
(3) $\gamma^* M_n(V)^+ \gamma \subseteq M_m(V)^+$ if $\gamma = [\gamma_{ij}]$ is any $n \times m$ matrix of complex numbers.

A *matrix order unit space* $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ is a matrix ordered space $\mathcal{V}$ together with a distinguished order unit 1 satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $\mathcal{V}^+$ is a proper cone with the order unit 1;
(2) each of the cones $M_n(\mathcal{V})^+$ is Archimedean.

Each matrix order unit space $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ may be provided with the norm

$$\|v\| = \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \begin{bmatrix} t1 & v \\ v^* & t1 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \right\}.$$

As in [14], we will not assume that $\mathcal{V}$ is complete for the norm.

If V and W are *-vector spaces and $\varphi : V \longmapsto W$ is a linear mapping, we have a linear mapping $\varphi^* : V \longmapsto W$ defined by $\varphi^*(v) = \varphi(v^*)^*$.

Given vector spaces V and W and a linear mapping $\varphi : V \longmapsto W$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a corresponding $\varphi_n : M_n(V) \longmapsto M_n(W)$ defined by

$$\varphi_n([v_{ij}]) = [\varphi(v_{ij})].$$

If V and W are vector spaces in duality, then they determine the matrix pairing

$$\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg : M_n(V) \times M_m(W) \longmapsto M_{nm},$$

where

$$\ll [v_{ij}], [w_{kl}] \gg = [< v_{ij}, w_{kl} >]$$

for $[v_{ij}] \in M_n(V)$ and $[w_{kl}] \in M_m(W)$.

A *graded set* $\mathbf{S} = (S_n)$ is a sequence of sets $S_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$. If V is a locally convex topological vector space, then the canonical topology on $M_n(V) (n \in \mathbb{N})$ is that determined by the natural linear isomorphism $M_n(V) \cong V^{n^2}$, that is, the product topology. A graded set $\mathbf{S} = (S_n)$ with $S_n \subseteq M_n(V)$ is *closed* if that is the case for each set S_n in the product topology in $M_n(V)$. Given a vector space V, we say that a graded set $\mathbf{B} = (B_n)$ with $B_n \subseteq M_n(V)$ is *absolutely matrix convex* if for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

(1) $B_m \oplus B_n \subseteq B_{m+n}$;
(2) $\alpha B_m \beta \subseteq B_n$ for any contractions $\alpha \in M_{n,m}$ and $\beta \in M_{m,n}$.

Let V and W be vector spaces in duality, and let $\mathbf{S} = (S_n)$ be a graded set with $S_n \subseteq M_n(V)$. The *absolute operator polar* $\mathbf{S}^{\circledcirc} = (S_n^{\circledcirc})$ with $S_n^{\circledcirc} \subseteq M_n(W)$, is defined by $S_n^{\circledcirc} = \{w \in M_n(W) : \| \ll v, w \gg \| \leq 1 \text{ for all } v \in S_r, r \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

A *gauge* on a vector space V is a function $g : V \longmapsto [0, +\infty]$ such that

(1) $g(v + w) \leq g(v) + g(w)$;
(2) $g(\alpha v) \leq |\alpha| g(v)$,

for all $v, w \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that a gauge g is a *seminorm* on V if $g(v) < +\infty$ for all $v \in V$. Given an arbitrary vector space V, a *matrix gauge* $\mathcal{G} = (g_n)$ on V is a sequence of gauges

$$g_n : M_n(V) \longmapsto [0, +\infty]$$

such that

(1) $g_{m+n}(v \oplus w) = \max\{g_m(v), g_n(w)\}$;
(2) $g_n(\alpha v \beta) \leq \|\alpha\| g_m(v) \|\beta\|$,

for any $v \in M_m(V)$, $w \in M_n(V)$, $\alpha \in M_{n,m}$ and $\beta \in M_{m,n}$. A matrix gauge $\mathcal{G} = (g_n)$ is a *matrix seminorm* on V if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, g_n(v) < +\infty$ for all $v \in M_n(V)$. If each g_n is a norm on $M_n(V)$, we say that $\mathcal{G}$ is a *matrix norm*. An *operator space* is a vector space together with a matrix norm on it. For a matrix order unit space $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$, it is an operator space with the matrix norm determined by the matrix order on it.

3. Matrix Lipschitz seminorm

We recall that a *Lipschitz seminorm* on an order unit space S is a seminorm on S such that its null space is the scalar multiples of the order unit[14]. When we have a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ as in the introduction, we obtain a sequence of triples

$(M_n(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{H}^n, D_n)$ and a sequence of seminorms $L_n(a) = \|[D_n, a]\|$ on each $M_n(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}))$. These seminorms are linked by the following fundamental relations:

(1) the null space of each L_n contains $M_n(\mathbb{C}1)$;
(2) $L_{m+n}(v \oplus w) = \max\{L_m(v), L_n(w)\}$;
(3) $L_n(\alpha v \beta) \leq \|\alpha\| L_m(v) \|\beta\|$;
(4) $L_m(v^*) = L_m(v)$,

where 1 is the identity of $\mathcal{A}$, $v \in M_m(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}))$, $w \in M_n(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}))$, $\alpha \in M_{n,m}$ and $\beta \in M_{m,n}$. Inspired by the observation, we give our non-commutative analogue of a Lipschitz seminorm[18].

Definition 3.1. Given a matrix order unit space $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$, a *matrix Lipschitz seminorm* $\mathcal{L}$ on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ is a sequence of seminorms

$$L_n : M_n(\mathcal{V}) \longmapsto [0, +\infty)$$

such that

(1) the null space of each L_n is $M_n(\mathbb{C}1)$;
(2) $L_{m+n}(v \oplus w) = \max\{L_m(v), L_n(w)\}$;
(3) $L_n(\alpha v \beta) \leq \|\alpha\| L_m(v) \|\beta\|$;
(4) $L_m(v^*) = L_m(v)$,

for any $v \in M_m(\mathcal{V})$, $w \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$, $\alpha \in M_{n,m}$ and $\beta \in M_{m,n}$.

Example 3.2. Let G be a compact group with identity element e. We suppose that G is equipped with a length function l, that is, a continuous non-negative real-valued function on G such that

(1) $l(xy) \leq l(x) + l(y)$,
(2) $l(x^{-1}) = l(x)$,
(3) $l(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = e$,

for all $x, y \in G$.

Let α be an ergodic action of G on a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. For $a = [a_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$L_n(a) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|[\alpha_x(a_{ij}) - a_{ij}]\|}{l(x)} : x \neq e \right\}.$$

We let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the set of Lipschitz elements of $\mathcal{A}$ for α and l with corresponding Lipschitz seminorm L_1. Then $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ is a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$, where 1 is the identity element of $\mathcal{A}$.

If $\mathcal{V}$ is an operator space, we let $\mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{V}, M_n)$ denote the vector space of completely bounded linear mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{V} \longmapsto M_n$, on which we place the completely bounded norm $\|\cdot\|_{cb}$. By the isometric identification

$$M_n(\mathcal{V}^*) \cong \mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{V}, M_n),\ n \in \mathbb{N},$$

the matrix norms on $\mathcal{V}^*$ determine the operator space dual $\mathcal{V}^*$ of $\mathcal{V}$(see Lemma 2.1 in [6] and Proposition 2.1 in [2]). This operator space dual and the matrix pairing substitute the Banach space dual and the scalar pairing in the transition from the commutative to the non-commutative case.

Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a matrix order unit space. The *matrix state space* of $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ is the collection $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}) = (CS_n(\mathcal{V}))$ of *matrix states*

$$CS_n(\mathcal{V}) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{V}, M_n) : \varphi \text{ is completely positive }, \varphi(1) = 1_n\},$$

[16, 10]. If $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ is a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$, then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can define a metric $D_{L_n} : CS_n(\mathcal{V}) \times CS_n(\mathcal{V}) \longmapsto [0, +\infty]$ by

$$D_{L_n}(\varphi, \psi) = \sup\{\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| : a \in M_r(\mathcal{V}), L_r(a) \leq 1, r \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$ and we should notice that it may take value $+\infty$. Denote $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}} = (D_{L_n})$. And in turn we can define a sequence $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}} = (L_{D_{L_n}})$ of gauges on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ by

$$L_{D_{L_n}}(a) = \sup\left\{\frac{\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \|}{D_{L_r}(\varphi, \psi)} : \varphi, \psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), \varphi \neq \psi, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\},$$

for all $a \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ be a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on the matrix order unit space $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$. Then we have*

$$D_{L_n}(\varphi, \psi) = \sup\{\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| : a = a^* \in M_r(\mathcal{V}), L_r(a) \leq 1, r \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

for $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$.

Proof. Assume that $D_{L_n}(\varphi, \psi) = c < +\infty$. For arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in M_r(\mathcal{V})$ with $L_r(a) \leq 1$ such that $\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| > c - \epsilon$. Let $b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ a^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. We have that $b = b^* \in M_{2r}(\mathcal{V})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{2r}(b) &= L_{2r}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^* \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq L_{2r}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^* \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \max\{L_r(a), L_r(a^*)\} = L_r(a) \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\ll \varphi, b \gg - \ll \psi, b \gg\| \\ = & \left\|\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \\ (\ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg)^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right\| \\ = & \left\|\begin{bmatrix} \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg & 0 \\ 0 & (\ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg)^* \end{bmatrix}\right\| \\ = & \max\{\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \|, \|(\ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg)^*\|\} \\ = & \| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| \\ > & c - \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrariness of ϵ, we obtain that $\sup\{\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| : a = a^* \in M_r(\mathcal{V}), L_r(a) \leq 1, r \in \mathbb{N}\} = c$. If $D_{L_n}(\varphi, \psi) = +\infty$, it can be proved similarly. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ be a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on the matrix order unit space $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}} = (L_{D_{L_n}})$ is a matrix seminorm on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ and satisfies that*

$$L_{D_{L_n}}(a^*) = L_{D_{L_n}}(a) \quad \textit{and} \quad L_{D_{L_n}}(a) \leq L_n(a),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$.

Proof. For any $v \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$, $w \in M_m(\mathcal{V})$, $\alpha \in M_{m,n}$ and $\beta \in M_{n,m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_{D_{L_{n+m}}}(v \oplus w) \\
= & \sup\left\{\tfrac{\|\ll\varphi, v\oplus w\gg - \ll\psi, v\oplus w\gg\|}{D_{L_r}(\varphi,\psi)} : \varphi,\psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), \varphi \neq \psi, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
= & \sup\left\{\tfrac{\|\ll\varphi, v\gg\oplus\ll\varphi, w\gg - \ll\psi, v\gg\oplus\ll\psi, w\gg\|}{D_{L_r}(\varphi,\psi)} : \varphi,\psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), \varphi \neq \psi, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
= & \sup\left\{\tfrac{\|(\ll\varphi, v\gg - \ll\psi, v\gg)\oplus(\ll\varphi, w\gg - \ll\psi, w\gg)\|}{D_{L_r}(\varphi,\psi)} : \varphi,\psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), \varphi \neq \psi, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
= & \sup\left\{\tfrac{\max\{\|\ll\varphi, v\gg - \ll\psi, v\gg\|, \|\ll\varphi, w\gg - \ll\psi, w\gg\|\}}{D_{L_r}(\varphi,\psi)} : \right. \\
& \left. \varphi,\psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), \varphi \neq \psi, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
= & \max\{L_{D_{L_n}}(v), L_{D_{L_m}}(w)\},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_{D_{L_m}}(\alpha v\beta) = \sup\left\{\tfrac{\|\ll\varphi, \alpha v\beta\gg - \ll\psi, \alpha v\beta\gg\|}{D_{L_r}(\varphi,\psi)} : \varphi,\psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), \varphi \neq \psi, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
= & \sup\left\{\tfrac{\|(\alpha\otimes 1_r)(\ll\varphi, v\gg - \ll\psi, v\gg)(\beta\otimes 1_r)\|}{D_{L_r}(\varphi,\psi)} : \varphi,\psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), \varphi \neq \psi, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
\leq & \|\alpha\| L_{D_{L_n}}(v) \|\beta\|.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{L}}$ is a matrix gauge on $\mathcal{V}$. For $v \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_{D_{L_n}}(v^*) = \sup\left\{\tfrac{\|\ll\varphi, v^*\gg - \ll\psi, v^*\gg\|}{D_{L_r}(\varphi,\psi)} : \varphi,\psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), \varphi \neq \psi, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
= & \sup\left\{\tfrac{\|\ll\varphi, v\gg^* - \ll\psi, v\gg^*\|}{D_{L_r}(\varphi,\psi)} : \varphi,\psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), \varphi \neq \psi, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\} = L_{D_{L_n}}(v).
\end{aligned}$$

If $a = [\lambda_{ij}1]$ for some $[\lambda_{ij}] \in M_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{D_{L_n}}(a) &= \sup\left\{\tfrac{\|\ll\varphi, [\lambda_{ij}1]\gg - \ll\psi, [\lambda_{ij}1]\gg\|}{D_{L_r}(\varphi,\psi)} : \varphi,\psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), \varphi \neq \psi, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
&= 0 = L_n(a),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\varphi(1) = \psi(1) = 1_r$. Suppose $a \in M_n(\mathcal{V}) \setminus M_n(\mathbb{C}1)$. For $\varphi,\psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| &= L_n(a)\big\| \ll \varphi, \tfrac{a}{L_n(a)} \gg - \ll \psi, \tfrac{a}{L_n(a)} \gg \big\| \\
&\leq L_n(a) D_{L_r}(\varphi,\psi).
\end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for any $\varphi,\psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$, we get that $L_{D_{L_n}}(a) \leq L_n(a)$. So $L_{D_{L_n}}(a) \leq L_n(a)$ for all $a \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$. This also indicates that $L_{D_{L_n}}(a) < +\infty$ for all $a \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{L}}$ is a matrix seminorm on $\mathcal{V}$. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ be a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on the matrix order unit space $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$. Then the graded set*

$$\mathcal{L}^1_{\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{L}} = (L^1_{D_{L_n}}),$$

where $L^1_{D_{L_n}} = \{a \in M_n(\mathcal{V}) : L_{D_{L_n}}(a) \leq 1\}$, is absolutely matrix convex.

Let V be a locally convex vector space, and let L be a gauge on V. Then L is *lower semicontinuous* if for any net $\{a_\lambda\}$ in V which converges to $a \in V$ we have $L(a) \leq \liminf_\lambda\{L(a_\lambda)\}$. Equivalently, for one, hence every, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$, the set

$$\{a \in V : L(a) \leq t\}$$

is closed in V. If V and W are two locally convex vector spaces in duality, we have that $M_n(V)$ and $M_m(W)$ are in duality under the matrix pairing. The *weak topology* on $M_n(V)$ is defined to be that determined by the matrix pairing.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $(\mathcal{V},1)$ be a matrix order unit space, and let $\mathcal{L}=(L_n)$ be any matrix Lipschitz seminorm on $(\mathcal{V},1)$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{L}}=(L_{D_{L_n}})$ is lower semicontinuous, that is, each $L_{D_{L_n}}$ is lower semicontinuous.*

Proof. Suppose $\{a_i\}$ is a net in $M_n(\mathcal{V})$ which converges weakly to $a\in M_n(\mathcal{V})$. For $r\in\mathbb{N}$, $\varphi,\psi\in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$ with $\varphi\neq\psi$, we have

$$\left\|\frac{\ll\varphi,a\gg-\ll\psi,a\gg}{D_r(\varphi,\psi)}-\frac{\ll\varphi,a_i\gg-\ll\psi,a_i\gg}{D_r(\varphi,\psi)}\right\|=\frac{\|\ll\varphi-\psi,a-a_i\gg\|}{D_r(\varphi,\psi)}$$

is small when i is large, and therefore, for each $\epsilon>0$,

$$\left\|\frac{\ll\varphi,a\gg-\ll\psi,a\gg}{D_r(\varphi,\psi)}\right\|\leq\left\|\frac{\ll\varphi,a_i\gg-\ll\psi,a_i\gg}{D_r(\varphi,\psi)}\right\|+\epsilon,$$

for i sufficiently large. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\tfrac{\ll\varphi,a\gg-\ll\psi,a\gg}{D_r(\varphi,\psi)}\right\|\\ \leq\ &\sup\left\{\tfrac{\|\ll\varphi,a_i\gg-\ll\psi,a_i\gg\|}{D_r(\varphi,\psi)}:\ \varphi,\psi\in CS_r(\mathcal{V}),\varphi\neq\psi,r\in\mathbb{N}\right\}+\epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

for i sufficiently large, and hence that

$$\frac{\|\ll\varphi,a\gg-\ll\psi,a\gg\|}{D_r(\varphi,\psi)}\leq\liminf_i L_{D_{L_n}}(a_i)+\epsilon.$$

Let ϵ tend to 0, and then we get that $L_{D_{L_n}}(a)\leq\liminf_i L_{D_{L_n}}(a_i)$. Therefore, $L_{D_{L_n}}$ is lower semicontinuous. □

4. Recovering $\mathcal{L}$ from $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{L}$

Let $(\mathcal{V},1)$ be a matrix order unit space and let $\mathcal{L}=(L_n)$ be a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on $(\mathcal{V},1)$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|=(\|\cdot\|_n)$ the matrix norm determined by the matrix order on $(\mathcal{V},1)$. Since $\mathbb{C}1$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{V}$, $M_n(\mathbb{C}1)$ is closed in $M_n(\mathcal{V})$ for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$ by Proposition 2.1(2) in [15]. We may therefore use the identification

$$M_n(\mathcal{V}/(\mathbb{C}1))\cong M_n(\mathcal{V})/M_n(\mathbb{C}1)$$

to define a matrix norm $\|\cdot\|^\sim=(\|\cdot\|_n^\sim)$ on the quotient space $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}=\mathcal{V}/(\mathbb{C}1)$ from $\|\cdot\|=(\|\cdot\|_n)$ by Theorem 4.2 in [15](or see [7]). But in addition to this matrix norm, by identifying $M_n(\mathcal{V}/(\mathbb{C}1))$ with $M_n(\mathcal{V})/M_n(\mathbb{C}1)$, we may also obtain a sequence of quotient seminorm $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}=(\widetilde{L}_n)$ from $\mathcal{L}$. $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is also a matrix norm on $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ since the null space of each L_n is $M_n(\mathbb{C}1)$(see page 173 in [8]). It is clear that $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is also self-adjoint since $\mathbb{C}1$ is self-adjoint.

From Proposition 5.2 in [18], we have

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(\mathcal{V},1)$ be a matrix order unit space. For arbitrary $r\in\mathbb{N}$, we denote*

$$CB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})=\{f\in M_r((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*):\ \|f\|_{cb}\leq 2\}$$

and

$$SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})=\{f\in M_r((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*):\ f^*=f,\ \|f\|_{cb}\leq 2\}.$$

Then

$$CB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})\subseteq\{\varphi_1-\varphi_2+i(\varphi_3-\varphi_4):\ \varphi_i\in CS_r(\mathcal{V}),i=1,2,3,4\}$$

and

$$SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})=\{\varphi_1-\varphi_2:\ \varphi_i\in CS_r(\mathcal{V}),i=1,2\}.$$

Here we view $M_r((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*)$ as the subspace of $M_r(\mathcal{V}^*)$ consisting of those $f \in M_r(\mathcal{V}^*)$ such that $f(a) = 0_r$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}1$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a matrix order unit space. Then for any $f \in M_r((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*)$, we have*

$$\|f^*\|_{cb} = \|f\|_{cb}.$$

Proof. Let $\|\cdot\| = (\|\cdot\|_n)$ be the matrix norm on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ determined by the matrix order on it. By Proposition 2.1 in [2], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f^*\|_{cb} &= \sup\{\| \ll f^*, a \gg \| : a = [a_{ij}] \in M_r(\mathcal{V}), \|a\|_r \leq 1\} \\
&= \sup\{\|[f^*(a_{ij})]\| : a = [a_{ij}] \in M_r(\mathcal{V}), \|a\|_r \leq 1\} \\
&= \sup\{\|[f(a_{ij}^*)^*]\| : a = [a_{ij}] \in M_r(\mathcal{V}), \|a\|_r \leq 1\} \\
&= \sup\{\|[f(a_{ji}^*)]\| : a = [a_{ij}] \in M_r(\mathcal{V}), \|a\|_r \leq 1\} \\
&= \sup\{\| \ll f, [a_{ji}^*] \gg \| : a = [a_{ij}] \in M_r(\mathcal{V}), \|a\|_r \leq 1\} \\
&= \sup\{\| \ll f, [a_{ij}] \gg \| : a = [a_{ij}] \in M_r(\mathcal{V}), \|a\|_r \leq 1\} \\
&= \|f\|_{cb}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

For a matrix Lipschitz seminorm $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ on a matrix order unit space $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ and $t > 0$, denote by $\mathcal{L}^t$ the sequence of sets

$$L_n^t = \{a \in M_n(\mathcal{V}) : L_n(a) \leq t\},$$

and by $\overline{\mathcal{L}}^t = (\overline{L}_n^t)$ the norm closure of $\mathcal{L}^t$, that is, each $\overline{L}_n^t$ is the norm closure of L_n^t.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a matrix order unit space, and let $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ be a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $L_n^{'} : M_n(\mathcal{V}^*) \longmapsto [0, +\infty]$ by*

$$L_n^{'}(f) = \sup\{\| \ll f, a \gg \| : a \in L_r^1, r \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad f \in M_n(\mathcal{V}^*).$$

Then $\mathcal{L}^{'} = (L_n^{'})$ is a matrix gauge on $\mathcal{V}^*$, and

$$L_n^{'}(f) = \sup\{\| \ll f, a \gg \| : a \in L_r^1, a = a^*, r \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

for $f \in M_n(\mathcal{V}^*)$, and

$$L_n^{'}(\varphi - \psi) = D_{L_n}(\varphi, \psi),$$

for $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{L}^{'} = (L_n^{'})$ is a matrix gauge on $\mathcal{V}^*$.

For arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in L_r^1$, we have that $L_r(a^*) = L_r(a) \leq 1$. Since

$$L_{2r}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ a^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = L_{2r}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^* \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq L_{2r}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^* \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

we get that $L_{2r}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ a^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq L_{2r}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^* \end{bmatrix}\right)$. Similarly, we have

$$L_{2r}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^* \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq L_{2r}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ a^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

So

$$L_{2r}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ a^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = L_{2r}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^* \end{bmatrix}\right) = L_r(a) \leq 1.$$

Given $f \in M_n(\mathcal{V}^*)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \ll f, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ a^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \gg \right\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \ll f, a \gg \\ \ll f, a^* \gg & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ = & \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \ll f, a \gg & 0 \\ 0 & \ll f, a^* \gg \end{bmatrix} \right\| \geq \| \ll f, a \gg \|. \end{aligned}$$

Then $L_n^{'}(f) \leq \sup\{\| \ll f, a \gg \| : a \in L_r^1, a^* = a, r \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Therefore,

$$L_n^{'}(f) = \sup\{\| \ll f, a \gg \| : a \in L_r^1, a = a^*, r \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^{'}(\varphi - \psi) &= \sup\{\| \ll \varphi - \psi, a \gg \| : a \in L_r^1, r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \sup\{\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| : a \in L_r^1, r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= D_{L_n}(\varphi, \psi). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a matrix order unit space, and let $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ be a lower semicontinuous matrix Lipschitz seminorm on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}.$$

Proof. The generalized bipolar theorem says that $(\mathcal{L}^1)^{\circledcirc\circledcirc}$ is the smallest weakly closed absolutely matrix convex set containing $\mathcal{L}^1$(Proposition 4.1 in [8]). Because the closure of a convex set in a locally convex topological vector space coincide with the closure under the weak topology, the bipolar theorem says also that the absolute operator bipolar of $\mathcal{L}^1$ is the smallest norm closed absolutely matrix convex set containing $\mathcal{L}^1$. Since $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ is a matrix gauge, $\mathcal{L}^1$ is absolutely matrix convex. The lower semicontinuity of $\mathcal{L}$ implies that $\mathcal{L}^1$ is norm closed. Thus

$$(\mathcal{L}^1)^{\circledcirc\circledcirc} = \mathcal{L}^1.$$

But for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_n^1)^{\circledcirc} \\ = & \{f \in M_n(\mathcal{V}^*) : \| \ll f, a \gg \| \leq 1 \text{ for all } a \in M_r(\mathcal{V}), L_r(a) \leq 1, r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ = & \{f \in M_n(\mathcal{V}^*) : L_n^{'}(f) \leq 1\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_n^1)^{\circledcirc\circledcirc} \\ = & \{f \in M_n(\mathcal{V}^*) : L_n^{'}(f) \leq 1\}^{\circledcirc} \\ = & \{a \in M_n(\mathcal{V}) : \| \ll f, a \gg \| \leq 1 \text{ for all } f \in M_r(\mathcal{V}^*), L_r^{'}(f) \leq 1, r \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $a \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$ with $L_{D_{L_n}}(a) \leq 1$. Then $\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| \leq D_{L_r}(\varphi, \psi)$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$ and arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{N}$. So by Lemma 4.3, we have that $\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| \leq L_r^{'}(\varphi - \psi)$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$ and arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus for $f \in SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\| \ll f, a \gg \| \leq L_r^{'}(f)$$

by Lemma 4.1.

Given $f \in CB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{cb} &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & f^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{cb} \\ &\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & f^* \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{cb} \\ &= \max\{\|f\|_{cb}, \|f^*\|_{cb}\} \\ &= \|f\|_{cb} \leq 2\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.2. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\| \ll f, a \gg \| &\leq \left\| \ll \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}, a \gg \right\| \\ &\leq L_{2r}^{'}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = L_r^{'}(f),\end{aligned}$$

that is, if $f \in M_r(\mathcal{V}^*)$ with $L_r^{'}(f) \leq 1$, then $\| \ll f, a \gg \| \leq 1$. Now, we have that $a \in (L_n^1)^{\circledcirc\circledcirc}$. So $a \in L_n^1$. Therefore, $L_n(a) \leq L_{D_{L_n}}(a)$ for all $a \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$. Combining this and Proposition 3.4, we obtain that $L_n = L_{D_{L_n}}$. So $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}$. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a matrix order unit space, and let $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ be a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{L}}$ is the largest lower semicontinuous matrix Lipschitz seminorm smaller than $\mathcal{L}$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{L}}} = \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{L}$.*

5. Matrix norms from matrix metrics

In this section, we discuss the relation of the matrix metrics on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ and the matrix norms on $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*$. Firstly, we make:

Definition 5.1. Let V be a vector space and let $\mathbf{K} = (K_n)$ be a graded set with $K_n \subseteq M_n(V)$. A *matrix metric* $\mathcal{D} = (D_n)$ on $\mathbf{K}$ is a sequence of metrics

$$D_n : K_n \times K_n \longmapsto [0, +\infty)$$

such that

(1) if $x, u \in K_m$ and $y, v \in K_n$ such that $x \oplus y, u \oplus v \in K_{m+n}$, then $D_{m+n}(x \oplus y, u \oplus v) = \max\{D_m(x, u), D_n(y, v)\}$;
(2) if $x, u \in K_m$ and $\alpha \in M_{m,n}$ with $\alpha^*\alpha = 1_n$ such that $\alpha^* x \alpha, \alpha^* u \alpha \in K_n$, then $D_n(\alpha^* x \alpha, \alpha^* u \alpha) \leq D_m(x, u)$.

Example 5.2. Assume $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ is a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on the matrix order unit space $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ and the image of L_1^1 in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is totally bounded for $\|\cdot\|_1^\sim$. Then the sequence of metrics D_{L_n} defined in section 3 is a matrix metric on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$(see Theorem 5.3 in [18]).

Suppose K is a convex subset of a vector space V. A metric ρ on K is *convex* if for every $x, y, z \in K$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\rho(x, ty + (1-t)z) \leq t\rho(x, y) + (1-t)\rho(x, z).$$

A metric ρ on K is *midpoint balanced* if whenever $x, y, u, v \in K$ and

$$\frac{x+v}{2} = \frac{y+u}{2}$$

holds, it follows that $\rho(x,u)=\rho(y,v)$. A metric ρ on K is *midpoint concave* if for any $x,y,u,v\in K$ we have

$$\rho\Big(\frac{x+u}{2},\frac{y+v}{2}\Big)\leq\frac{1}{2}(\rho(x,y)+\rho(u,v))$$

[14].

A *matrix convex set* in a vector space V is a collection $\mathbf{K}=(K_n)$ of subsets $K_n\subseteq M_n(V)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}\gamma_i^*v_i\gamma_i\in K_n$$

for all $v_i\in K_{n_i}$ and $\gamma_i\in M_{n_i,n}$ for $i=1,2,\cdots,k$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{k}\gamma_i^*\gamma_i=1_n$[16, 17, 9].

Definition 5.3. We say that a matrix metric $\mathcal{D}=(D_n)$ on a matrix convex set $\mathbf{K}$ in a vector space V is *convex* if each D_n is convex; $\mathcal{D}$ is *midpoint balanced* if each D_n is midpoint balanced; $\mathcal{D}$ is *midpoint concave* if each D_n is midpoint concave.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $(\mathcal{V},1)$ be a matrix order unit space, and let $\mathcal{D}=(D_n)$ be a matrix metric on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is convex, midpoint balanced and midpoint concave, then there is a norm $Q_n^{''}$ on each real vector space $\mathbb{R}SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ such that*

$$Q_n^{''}(\alpha^*f\alpha)\leq\|\alpha\|^2Q_m^{''}(f),$$

$$Q_{m+n}^{''}(f\oplus g)=\max\{Q_m^{''}(f),Q_n^{''}(g)\},$$

for $f\in\mathbb{R}SB_m^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$, $g\in\mathbb{R}SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\alpha\in M_{m,n}$, and

$$Q_n^{''}(\varphi-\psi)=D_n(\varphi,\psi),$$

for all $\varphi,\psi\in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we have that $SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})=CS_n(\mathcal{V})-CS_n(\mathcal{V})(n\in\mathbb{N})$. For $f=\varphi-\psi\in SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$, where $\varphi,\psi\in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, set

$$Q_n^{'}(f)=D_n(\varphi,\psi).$$

Suppose $\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\psi_1,\psi_2\in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$ and $\varphi_1-\psi_1=\varphi_2-\psi_2$. Then $\frac{\varphi_1+\psi_2}{2}=\frac{\varphi_2+\psi_1}{2}$, and hence $D_n(\varphi_1,\psi_1)=D_n(\varphi_2,\psi_2)$ since D_n is midpoint balanced. So $Q_n^{'}$ is well-defined.

If $t\in[-1,1]$ and $f=\varphi-\psi\in SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $\varphi,\psi\in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, we have that $tf=\mathrm{sgn}(t)|t|(\varphi-\psi)=\mathrm{sgn}(t)[\varphi-(|t|\psi+(1-|t|)\varphi)]$. So $tf\in SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ by the convexity of $CS_n(\mathcal{V})$. For $t\in[0,1]$, since

$$\begin{aligned}D_n(\varphi,\psi)&\leq D_n(\varphi,t\psi+(1-t)\varphi)+D_n(t\psi+(1-t)\varphi,\psi)\\&\leq tD_n(\varphi,\psi)+(1-t)D_n(\varphi,\psi)=D_n(\varphi,\psi)\end{aligned}$$

by the convexity of D_n, it follows that $tD_n(\varphi,\psi)=D_n(\varphi,t\psi+(1-t)\varphi)$. Therefore, $Q_n^{'}(tf)=D_n(\varphi,t\psi+(1-t)\varphi)=tD_n(\varphi,\psi)=tQ_n^{'}(f)$, and $Q_n^{'}(-tf)=Q_n^{'}(tf)=tQ_n^{'}(f)$. So

$$Q_n^{'}(tf)=|t|Q_n^{'}(f),\ |t|\leq1.$$

Now for $f=\varphi_1-\psi_1, g=\varphi_2-\psi_2\in SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\psi_1,\psi_2\in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$ and $f+g\in SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n^{'}(f+g) &= 2Q_n^{'}\big(\tfrac{f+g}{2}\big)=2Q_n^{'}\big(\tfrac{\varphi_1+\varphi_2-\psi_1-\psi_2}{2}\big)\\ &= 2D_n\big(\tfrac{\varphi_1+\varphi_2}{2},\tfrac{\psi_1+\psi_2}{2}\big)\le D_n(\varphi_1,\psi_1)+D_n(\varphi_2,\psi_2)\\ &= Q_n^{'}(f)+Q_n^{'}(g)\end{aligned}$$

by the midpoint concavity of D_n. For $f_1=\varphi_1-\psi_1\in SB_m^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $\varphi_1,\psi_1\in CS_m(\mathcal{V})$ and $f_2=\varphi_2-\psi_2\in SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $\varphi_2,\psi_2\in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{m+n}^{'}(f_1\oplus f_2) &= Q_{m+n}^{'}(\varphi_1\oplus\varphi_2-\psi_1\oplus\psi_2)\\ &= D_{m+n}(\varphi_1\oplus\varphi_2,\psi_1\oplus\psi_2)\\ &= \max\{D_m(\varphi_1,\psi_1),D_n(\varphi_2,\psi_2)\}\\ &= \max\{Q_m^{'}(f_1),Q_n^{'}(f_2)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Given $f=\varphi-\psi\in SB_m^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $\varphi,\psi\in CS_m(\mathcal{V})$ and $\alpha\in M_{m,n}$ with $\alpha^*\alpha\le 1_n$. Choose $\phi\in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$. Since

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} f & 0\\ 0 & 0_n\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc} \varphi-\psi & 0\\ 0 & 0_n\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc} \varphi & 0\\ 0 & \phi\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{cc} \psi & 0\\ 0 & \phi\end{array}\right]=\varphi_1-\psi_1,$$

where $\varphi_1=\left[\begin{array}{cc} \varphi & 0\\ 0 & \phi\end{array}\right]$ and $\psi_1=\left[\begin{array}{cc} \psi & 0\\ 0 & \phi\end{array}\right]$, and $\varphi_1,\varphi_2\in CS_{m+n}(\mathcal{V})$, we see that $\left[\begin{array}{cc} f & 0\\ 0 & 0_n\end{array}\right]\in SB_{m+n}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. So

$$\alpha^*f\alpha=\left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha\\ \sqrt{1_n-\alpha^*\alpha}\end{array}\right]^*\left[\begin{array}{cc} f & 0\\ 0 & 0_n\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha\\ \sqrt{1_n-\alpha^*\alpha}\end{array}\right]\in SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$$

by the matrix convexity of $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n^{'}(\alpha^*f\alpha) &= Q_n^{'}\bigg(\beta^*\left[\begin{array}{cc} f & 0\\ 0 & 0_n\end{array}\right]\beta\bigg)=Q_n^{'}\Big(\beta^*\varphi_1\beta-\beta^*\psi_1\beta\Big)\\ &= D_n\Big(\beta^*\varphi_1\beta,\beta^*\psi_1\beta\Big)\le D_{m+n}(\varphi_1,\psi_1)\\ &= Q_{m+n}^{'}(\varphi_1-\psi_1)=Q_{m+n}^{'}\bigg(\left[\begin{array}{cc} f & 0\\ 0 & 0_n\end{array}\right]\bigg)=Q_m^{'}(f),\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta=\left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha\\ \sqrt{1_n-\alpha^*\alpha}\end{array}\right]$.

Denote

$$W_n=\mathbb{R}SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}),\ n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

For $f\in W_n$, there is a $t>0$ such that $tf\in SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. Set

$$Q_n^{''}(f)=t^{-1}Q_n^{'}(tf).$$

Then it is clear that $Q_n^{''}$ is well-defined and

$$Q_n^{''}(sf)=|s|Q_n^{''}(f),$$

for $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and $f\in W_n$. If $f=t_1(\varphi_1-\psi_1)\in W_n$ and $g=t_2(\varphi_2-\psi_2)\in W_n$ with $\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\psi_1,\psi_2\in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$ and $t_1,t_2>0$, we may assume that $t_1,t_2>1$, and then we

have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n^{''}(f+g) &= Q_n^{''}(t_1(\varphi_1-\psi_1)+t_2(\varphi_2-\psi_2)) \\ &= Q_n^{''}((t_1\varphi_1+t_2\varphi_2)-(t_1\psi_1+t_2\psi_2)) \\ &= Q_n^{''}\left((t_1+t_2)\left(\tfrac{t_1\varphi_1+t_2\varphi_2}{t_1+t_2}-\tfrac{t_1\psi_1+t_2\psi_2}{t_1+t_2}\right)\right) \\ &= (t_1+t_2)Q_n^{'}\left(\tfrac{1}{t_1+t_2}f+\tfrac{1}{t_1+t_2}g\right) \\ &\leq (t_1+t_2)Q_n^{'}\left(\tfrac{1}{t_1+t_2}f\right)+(t_1+t_2)Q_n^{'}\left(\tfrac{1}{t_1+t_2}g\right) \\ &= Q_n^{''}(f)+Q_n^{''}(g), \end{aligned}$$

and for $\alpha \in M_{n,m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_m^{''}(\alpha^* f\alpha) = Q_m^{''}\left(t_1\|\alpha\|^2\left(\tfrac{\alpha}{\|\alpha\|}\right)^* \tfrac{f}{t_1}\left(\tfrac{\alpha}{\|\alpha\|}\right)\right) \\ = \;& t_1\|\alpha\|^2 Q_m^{'}\left(\left(\tfrac{\alpha}{\|\alpha\|}\right)^* \tfrac{f}{t_1}\left(\tfrac{\alpha}{\|\alpha\|}\right)\right) \leq t_1\|\alpha\|^2 Q_n^{'}\left(\tfrac{f}{t_1}\right) \\ = \;& t_1\|\alpha\|^2 Q_n^{''}\left(\tfrac{f}{t_1}\right) = \|\alpha\|^2 Q_n^{''}(f) \end{aligned}$$

if $\alpha \neq 0_{n,m}$ and clearly it holds for $\alpha = 0_{n,m}$. Let $f = t_1 f_1 \in W_m$ with $t_1 > 1$ and $f_1 \in SB_m^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $g = t_2 g_1 \in W_n$ with $t_2 > 1$ and $g_1 \in SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. Setting $t = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{m+n}^{''}(f \oplus g) = Q_{m+n}^{''}\left(t\left(\tfrac{t_1}{t}f_1 \oplus \tfrac{t_2}{t}g_1\right)\right) \\ = \;& tQ_{m+n}^{'}\left(\tfrac{t_1}{t}f_1 \oplus \tfrac{t_2}{t}g_1\right) = t\max\left\{Q_m^{'}\left(\tfrac{f}{t}\right), Q_n^{'}\left(\tfrac{g}{t}\right)\right\} \\ = \;& t\max\left\{Q_m^{''}\left(\tfrac{f}{t}\right), Q_n^{''}\left(\tfrac{g}{t}\right)\right\} = \max\left\{Q_m^{''}(f), Q_n^{''}(g)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.5. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a matrix order unit space, and let $\mathcal{D} = (D_n)$ be a matrix metric on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$. Then there is a matrix norm $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_n)$ on $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*$ such that*

$$Q_n(\varphi - \psi) = D_n(\varphi, \psi),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, and

$$Q_n(f^*) = Q_n(f),$$

for each $f \in M_n((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if $\mathcal{D}$ is convex, midpoint balanced, and midpoint concave. The matrix norm $\mathcal{Q}$ is unique.

Proof. The uniqueness follows immediately from the equality

$$Q_n(f) = \max\{Q_n(f^*), Q_n(f)\} = Q_{2n}\left(\begin{bmatrix} f^* & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix}\right) = Q_{2n}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

where $f \in M_n((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*)$.

If $Q_n(\varphi - \psi) = D_n(\varphi, \psi)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, for some matrix norm $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_n)$ on $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*$ satisfying $Q_n(f^*) = Q_n(f)$ for each $f \in M_n((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is easily seen that $\mathcal{D}$ is convex, midpoint balanced, and midpoint concave.

Now suppose $\mathcal{D}$ is convex, midpoint balanced, and midpoint concave. By Lemma 5.4, there is a norm $Q_n^{''}$ on each real vector space $\mathbb{R}SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ such that $Q_n^{''}(\alpha^* f\alpha) \leq \|\alpha\|^2 Q_n^{''}(f)$ and $Q_{m+n}^{''}(f \oplus g) = \max\{Q_m^{''}(f), Q_n^{''}(g)\}$ for $f \in \mathbb{R}SB_m^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $g \in \mathbb{R}SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\alpha \in M_{m,n}$, and $Q_n^{''}(\varphi - \psi) = D_n(\varphi, \psi)$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$. Denote

$$U_n = \mathbb{R}SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) + i\mathbb{R}SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}),\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $f \in U_n$, set

$$Q_n(f) = Q''_{2n}\Big(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\Big).$$

For $f = t(\varphi - \psi) \in \mathbb{R}SB_n^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $t > 1$ and $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, we have that $\Big\|\frac{1}{t}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f & 0 \end{bmatrix}\Big\|_{cb} = \frac{1}{t}\|f\|_{cb} \leq 2$, that is, $\frac{1}{t}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in SB_{2n}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. So

$$Q_n(f) = Q''_{2n}\Big(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f & 0 \end{bmatrix}\Big) = tQ'_{2n}\Big(\frac{1}{t}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f & 0 \end{bmatrix}\Big).$$

Because $\mathcal{D} = (D_n)$ is a matrix metric and

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{t}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \tfrac{1}{t}\alpha^*\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & -f \end{bmatrix}\alpha \\ &= \alpha^*\begin{bmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi \end{bmatrix}\alpha - \alpha^*\begin{bmatrix} \psi & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi \end{bmatrix}\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \otimes 1_n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(f) &= tQ'_{2n}\Big(\tfrac{1}{t}\alpha^*\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & -f \end{bmatrix}\alpha\Big) \\ &= tD_{2n}\Big(\alpha^*\begin{bmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi \end{bmatrix}\alpha, \alpha^*\begin{bmatrix} \psi & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi \end{bmatrix}\alpha\Big) \\ &= tD_{2n}\Big(\begin{bmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi \end{bmatrix}\Big) \\ &= tQ'_{2n}\Big(\tfrac{1}{t}\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & -f \end{bmatrix}\Big) \\ &= tQ'_n(\tfrac{1}{t}f) = Q''_n(f). \end{aligned}$$

For $f \in U_n$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c = r\exp(i\theta)$, where $r > 0$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(cf) &= Q''_{2n}\Big(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & cf \\ (cf)^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\Big) = Q''_{2n}\Big(\gamma\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\gamma^*\Big) \\ &\leq |c|Q''_{2n}\Big(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\Big) = |c|Q_n(f), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{r}\exp(i\frac{\theta}{2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{r}\exp(-i\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{bmatrix}$, and hence $Q_n(f) = Q_n(c^{-1}cf) \leq |c|^{-1}Q_n(cf)$. So

$$Q_n(cf) = |c|Q_n(f).$$

Obviously, this holds for $c = 0$. It is clear that $Q_n(f+g) \leq Q_n(f) + Q_n(g)$ for $f, g \in U_n$. Now assume that $f \in U_n, g \in U_m$ and $\alpha \in M_{m,n}$ and $\beta \in M_{n,m}$. Then

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_m(\alpha f\beta) &= \|\alpha\|\|\beta\| Q_m\left(\tfrac{\alpha}{\|\alpha\|} f \tfrac{\beta}{\|\beta\|}\right) \\
&= \|\alpha\|\|\beta\| Q''_{2m}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{\alpha f\beta}{\|\alpha\|\|\beta\|} \\ \left(\frac{\alpha f\beta}{\|\alpha\|\|\beta\|}\right)^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \|\alpha\|\|\beta\| Q''_{2m}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha^*}{\|\alpha\|} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta}{\|\beta\|} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha^*}{\|\alpha\|} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta}{\|\beta\|} \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&\leq \|\alpha\|\|\beta\| \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha^*}{\|\alpha\|} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta}{\|\beta\|} \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 Q''_{2n}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f \\ f^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \|\alpha\|\|\beta\| Q_n(f)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_{n+m}(f\oplus g) = Q''_{2(n+m)}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f\oplus g \\ f^*\oplus g^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
= \; & Q''_{2(n+m)}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 0&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0&f&0&0 \\ f^*&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&g \\ 0&0&g^*&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 0&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
= \; & Q''_{2(n+m)}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0&f&0&0 \\ f^*&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&g \\ 0&0&g^*&0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
= \; & \max\left\{Q''_{2n}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0&f \\ f^*&0 \end{bmatrix}\right), Q''_{2m}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0&g \\ g^*&0 \end{bmatrix}\right)\right\} \\
= \; & \max\{Q_m(f), Q_n(g)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1, $U_n = M_n((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*)(n\in\mathbb{N})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_n)$ is a matrix norm on $(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}})^*$. And

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_n(f^*) &= Q_{2n}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f^* \\ f & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= Q_{2n}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0&1 \\ 1&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0&f \\ f^*&0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0&1 \\ 1&0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= Q_{2n}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0&f \\ f^*&0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = Q_n(f),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $f\in M_n((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*)$. □

6. Matrix Lipschitz seminorms from matrix metrics

The purpose of this section is to characterize the matrix metrics on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ which come from matrix Lipschitz seminorms.

Let $(\mathcal{V},1)$ be a matrix order unit space, and let $\mathcal{D} = (D_n)$ be a matrix metric on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$. We will refer to the topology on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ defined by $\mathcal{D}$, that is, the topology on each $CS_n(\mathcal{V})$ is induced by D_n, as the *$\mathcal{D}$-topology*. The natural topology on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ is the *BW-topology*, that is, topologies each $CS_n(\mathcal{V})$ by BW-topology[1].

Let V and W be two vector spaces, and let $\mathbf{K} = (K_n)$ be a matrix convex set of V. A *matrix affine mapping* from $\mathbf{K}$ to W is a sequence $\mathbf{F} = (F_n)$ of mappings

$F_n : K_n \longmapsto M_n(W)$ such that

$$F_n\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_i^* v_i \gamma_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_i^* F_{n_i}(v_i)\gamma_i,$$

for all $v_i \in K_{n_i}$ and $\gamma_i \in M_{n_i,n}$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_i^* \gamma_i = 1_n$.

A matrix convex set $\mathbf{K} = (K_n)$ of a locally convex vector space V is *compact* if each K_n is compact in the product topology in $M_n(V)$. Given a compact matrix convex set $\mathbf{K} = (K_n)$ of a locally convex vector space. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A(\mathbf{K}, M_r)$ to be the set of all matrix affine mappings $\mathbf{F} = (F_n) : \mathbf{K} \longmapsto M_r$, such that F_1 is continuous. Using the linear structure and the adjoint operation in $M_n(M_r)$, $A(\mathbf{K}, M_r)$ becomes a vector space with a *-operation under pointwise operations. Similarly, the order structure in $M_n(M_r)$ defines a positive cone in $A(\mathbf{K}, M_r)$, where $\mathbf{F} \geq 0$ in $A(\mathbf{K}, M_r)$ if $F_n(v) \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in K_n$. So $A(\mathbf{K}, M_r)$ is a partially ordered space. If we denote $\mathbf{I}^{(r)} = (I_n^{(r)}) : \mathbf{K} \longmapsto M_r$ by $I_n^{(r)}(v) = 1_n \otimes 1_r$ for $v \in K_n$, then $\mathbf{I}^{(r)} \in A(\mathbf{K}, M_r)$. We use the abbreviation $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}^{(1)}$. For $\mathbf{F} = (F_n) \in A(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{C})$ and any unit vector $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $v \in K_n$, we have

$$< F_n(v)\xi, \xi >= \xi^* F_n(v)\xi = F_1(\xi^* v \xi).$$

So $\mathbf{I}$ is an order unit for $A(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{C})$. It is clear that $A(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{C})$ is an order unit space. Let $A(K_1, \mathbb{C})$ be the space of all continuous affine mappings from K_1 to $\mathbb{C}$. Then $A(K_1, \mathbb{C})$ can be made into an order unit space[11]. Define $\Phi : A(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{C}) \longmapsto A(K_1, \mathbb{C})$ by $\Phi(\mathbf{F}) = F_1$ for $\mathbf{F} \in A(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{C})$. Then Φ is a unital order preserving bijection of $A(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{C})$ onto $A(K_1, \mathbb{C})$. Identifying $M_n(A(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{C}))$ with $A(\mathbf{K}, M_n)$, we may use the ordering on $A(\mathbf{K}, M_n)$ to define a positive cone in $M_n(A(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{C}))$. In this way $A(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{C})$ becomes a matrix order unit space(see page 313 in [16]). We will simply denote the matrix order unit space $A(\mathbf{K}, \mathbb{C})$ by $A(\mathbf{K})$.

A non-commutative version of the representation theorem of Kadison was proved in [16](Proposition 3.5 in [16]):

Proposition 6.1. (1) *If $\mathcal{R}$ is an operator system, then $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{R})$ is a self-adjoint compact matrix convex set in $\mathcal{R}^*$, equipped with the BW-topology, and $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{R}))$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$, the completion of $\mathcal{R}$, are isomorphic as operator systems.*

(2) *If $\mathbf{K}$ is a compact matrix convex set in a locally convex space V, then $A(\mathbf{K})$ is an operator system, and $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathcal{CS}(A(\mathbf{K}))$ are matrix affinely homeomorphic.*

So we can view the matrix order unit spaces as exactly the dense subspaces containing the order unit $\mathbf{I}$ inside $A(\mathbf{K})$, where $\mathbf{K}$ is any compact matrix convex subset of a topological vector space. The following is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.3.4 in [7]:

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that V is an operator space with matrix norm $\|\cdot\| = (\|\cdot\|_n)$. Let V^* be the operator space dual of V, with matrix norm $\|\cdot\|^* = (\|\cdot\|_n^*)$. If $v_0 \in M_n(V)$, then*

$$\|v_0\|_n = \sup\{\| \ll \varphi, v_0 \gg \| : \|\varphi\|_r^* \leq 1, \varphi \in M_r(V^*), r \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that V is a self-adjoint operator space with matrix norm $\|\cdot\| = (\|\cdot\|_n)$. Let V^* be the operator space dual of V, with matrix norm $\|\cdot\|^* = (\|\cdot\|_n^*)$. If $\varphi \in M_n(V^*)$, then*

$$\|\varphi\|_n^* = \sup\{\| \ll \varphi, v \gg \| : v^* = v \in M_r(V), \|v\|_r \leq 1, r \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 in [2], we get

$$\|\varphi\|_n^* = \sup\{\| \ll \varphi, v \gg \| : v \in M_r(V), \|v\|_r \leq 1, r \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For $v \in M_r(V)$ with $\|v\|_r \leq 1$, since

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{2r} = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & v^* \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{2r} = \max\{\|v\|_r, \|v^*\|_r\} = \|v\|_r \leq 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \ll \varphi, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \gg \right\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \ll \varphi, v \gg \\ \ll \varphi, v^* \gg & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ = & \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \ll \varphi, v \gg & 0 \\ 0 & \ll \varphi, v^* \gg \end{bmatrix} \right\| \geq \| \ll \varphi, v \gg \|, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\|\varphi\|_n^* \leq \sup\{\| \ll \varphi, v \gg \| : v^* = v \in M_r(V), \|v\|_r \leq 1, r \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

and we are done. □

Definition 6.4. Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a matrix order unit space. By a *matrix Lip-norm* on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ we mean a matrix Lipschitz seminorm $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ such that the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$-topology on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ agrees with the BW-topology.

Example 6.5. The matrix Lipschitz seminorm $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ as defined in Example 3.2 is clearly lower semicontinuous. From Proposition 3.1 in [18], Theorem 2.3 in [13] and its proof, we see that the image of L_1^1 in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is totally bounded for $\|\cdot\|_1^{\sim}$ by Theorem 1.8 in [13]. According to Theorem 5.3 in [18], we see that the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$-topology on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ agrees with the BW-topology. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}$ is a lower semicontinuous matrix Lip-norm on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$.

Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a matrix order unit space. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra such that $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $1_{\mathcal{A}} = 1$. Fix $\rho \in CS_1(\mathcal{A})$. We define $\Phi_\rho^{(r)} : \mathcal{A} \longmapsto M_r$ by

$$\Phi_\rho^{(r)}(a) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho(a) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \rho(a) \end{bmatrix}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then for $[a_{ij}] \in M_r(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$S_{\Phi_\rho^{(r)}}([a_{ij}]) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \rho(a_{ii}).$$

It is clear that $S_{\Phi_\rho^{(r)}}$ is positive. So $\Phi_\rho^{(r)}$ is completely positive(Theorem 5.1 in [12]) and $\Phi_\rho^{(r)}(1) = 1_r$, that is, $\Phi_\rho^{(r)} \in CS_r(\mathcal{A})$. Hence, $\Phi_\rho^{(r)} \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$.

Let $\mathcal{D} = (D_n)$ be a matrix metric on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$, we can define a sequence $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}} = (L_{D_n})$ of gauges on $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))$ by

$$L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}) = \sup\left\{ \frac{\|F_r^{(n)}(\varphi) - F_r^{(n)}(\psi)\|}{D_r(\varphi, \psi)} : \varphi \neq \psi, \varphi, \psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), r \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{F}^{(n)} \in A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n)$, and then define a sequence $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}^c = (L_{D_n}^c)$ of gauges on $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*$ by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{D_n}^c(g) = & \sup\{t\|(F_n^{(r)}(\varphi_1) - F_n^{(r)}(\varphi_2)) + i(F_n^{(r)}(\varphi_3) - F_n^{(r)}(\varphi_4))\| : \\ & L_{D_r}(\mathbf{F}^{(r)}) \leq 1, \mathbf{F}^{(r)} \in A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_r), r \in \mathbb{N}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g = t[(\varphi_1-\varphi_2)+i(\varphi_3-\varphi_4)] \in M_n((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*)$ with $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4 \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$ and $t > 0$. Since we identify $M_n(A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})))$ and $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n)$, for $\mathbf{F}^{(n)} \in A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n)$ and $\gamma \in M_{n,r}$ we have that $\gamma^*\mathbf{F}^{(n)}\gamma \in A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_r)$ and $(\gamma^*\mathbf{F}^{(n)}\gamma)_p(\varphi) = (\gamma \otimes 1_p)^* F_p^{(n)}(\varphi)(\gamma \otimes 1_p)$ for $\varphi \in CS_p(\mathcal{V})$. Clearly, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}^c$ are matrix gauges and the null space of each L_{D_n} is $M_n(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})$. Denote

$$K_n = \{\mathbf{F}^{(n)} \in A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n) : L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}) < +\infty\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and $\mathbf{K} = (K_n)$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is convex, midpoint balanced, and midpoint concave. Then, by Theorem 5.5 there exists a matrix norm $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_n)$ on $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*$ such that $Q_n(\varphi - \psi) = D_n(\varphi, \psi)$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, and $Q_n(f^*) = Q_n(f)$ for each $f \in M_n((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ denote the operator space $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*$ with the matrix norm $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_n)$. Let $\mathcal{W}^*$ be the operator space dual of $\mathcal{W}$, with the dual operator space norm $\mathcal{Q}^d = (Q_n^d)$. Now we assume that the $\mathcal{D}$-topology on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ agrees with the BW-topology.

Proposition 6.6. (1) $\mathbf{K} = (K_n)$ is an absolutely matrix convex set in $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))$.

(2) for $g \in M_n(\mathcal{W}^*)$, define $\sigma^{(n)}(g) : \mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}) \longmapsto M_n$ by

$$\sigma_r^{(n)}(g)(\varphi) = \ll g, \varphi - \Phi_\rho^{(r)} \gg,$$

for $\varphi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\sigma^{(n)}(g) \in K_n$ and $L_{D_n}(\sigma^{(n)}(g)) \leq Q_n^d(g)$.

(3) $\overline{K}_n = A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n)$, where $\overline{\mathbf{K}} = (\overline{K}_n)$ is the closure of $\mathbf{K}$.

Proof. (1) This follows directly from the fact that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a matrix gauge on $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))$.

(2) It is easy to see that $M_n(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I}) \subseteq K_n$. Let $\varphi_i \in CS_{m_i}(\mathcal{V})$ and $\gamma_i \in M_{m_i,r}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$, satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i^*\gamma_i = 1_r$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_r^{(n)}(g)(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i^*\varphi_i\gamma_i) &= \ll g, \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i^*\varphi_i\gamma_i - \Phi_\rho^{(r)} \gg \\
&= \ll g, \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i^*(\varphi_i - \Phi_\rho^{(m_i)})\gamma_i \gg \\
&= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^k (\gamma_i \otimes 1_n)^* \ll g, \varphi_i - \Phi_\rho^{(m_i)} \gg (\gamma_i \otimes 1_n) \\
&= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^k (\gamma_i \otimes 1_n)^* \sigma_{m_i}^{(n)}(g)(\varphi_i)(\gamma_i \otimes 1_n),
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\sigma^{(n)}(g)$ is a matrix affine mapping from $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ to M_n. For $\varphi, \psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sigma_r^{(n)}(g)(\varphi) - \sigma_r^{(n)}(g)(\psi)\| = \| \ll g, \varphi - \psi \gg \| \\
\leq\ & Q_n^d(g) Q_r(\varphi - \psi) = Q_n^d(g) D_r(\varphi, \psi).
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6.2. So $\sigma_1^{(n)}(g)$ is continuous on $CS_1(\mathcal{V})$ since $\mathcal{D}$ gives the BW-topology. Thus $\sigma^{(n)}(g) \in A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n)$. Moreover, we have that $\sigma^{(n)}(g) \in K_n$ and $L_{D_n}(\sigma^{(n)}(g)) \leq Q_n^d(g)$.

(3) Let $\overline{\mathbf{K}} = (\overline{K}_n)$ be the closure of $\mathbf{K}$. Assume that $f \in [A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n)/M_n(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})] \setminus [\overline{K}_n/M_n(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})]$. By the matricial separation theorem(Theorem 1.6 in [16]), applied to $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))/(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})$ and the closed matrix convex set $\widetilde{\overline{\mathbf{K}}} = (\overline{K}_n/M_n(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I}))$ in $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))/(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})$, there is a continuous linear mapping $\Phi : A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))/(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I}) \longmapsto M_n$ such that

$$\mathrm{Re}\Phi_r(g) \leq 1_n \otimes 1_r$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $g \in \overline{K}_r/M_r(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})$ and

$$\mathrm{Re}\Phi_n(f) \not\leq 1_n \otimes 1_n.$$

Since $\sigma^{(r)}(M_r(\mathcal{W}^*)) \subseteq K_r$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\Phi_r(\sigma^{(r)}(g) + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{I}^{(r)}) \leq 1_n \otimes 1_r$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $g \in M_r(\mathcal{W}^*)$. Identifying Φ with $F \in M_n((A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))/(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I}))^*) \cong M_n((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*) = M_n(\mathcal{W})$ by Proposition 6.1, this means that

$$\mathrm{Re} \ll F, g \gg \leq 1_n \otimes 1_r.$$

So by the operator space duality of $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{W}^*$, we obtain $F = 0_n$, that is, $\Phi(g) = 0$ for $g \in A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))/(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{Re}\Phi_n(f) = 0_{n\times n} \leq 1_n \otimes 1_n,$$

a contradiction. Since $M_n(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I}) \subseteq A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n) \cap \overline{K}_n$, $\overline{K}_n = A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n)$. □

Proposition 6.7. *If $L^c_{D_n}(\varphi - \psi) = D_n(\varphi, \psi)$ for $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, then $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{D}$ is a lower semicontinuous matrix Lip-norm on $(K_1, I_1^{(1)})$.*

Proof. It is clear that $(K_1, I_1^{(1)})$ is a matrix order unit space as a subspace of $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))$ and $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{D} = (L_{D_n})$ is a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on it. Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.6, we have that $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{D} = (L_{D_n})$ is lower semicontinuous. Since K_1 is dense in $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))$, $\mathcal{CS}(A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))) = \mathcal{CS}(K_1)$. By Proposition 6.1(2), we obtain that $\mathcal{CS}(K_1) = \mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$. Since $L^c_{D_n}(\varphi - \psi) = D_n(\varphi, \psi)$ for $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$ and clearly $L^c_{D_n}(\varphi - \psi) = D_{L_{D_n}}(\varphi, \psi)$ for $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{D}}$-topology on $\mathcal{CS}(K_1)$ agrees with the BW-topology. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{D}$ is a matrix Lip-norm on $(K_1, I_1^{(1)})$. □

For $\mathbf{F}^{(n)} = (F_r^{(n)}) \in K_n$, define $\rho^{(n)}_{r,1}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}) : SB^2_r(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) \longmapsto M_r(M_n)$ by

$$\rho^{(n)}_{r,1}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi) = F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_1) - F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_2),$$

where $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \in SB^2_r(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$. If also $\varphi = \varphi_3 - \varphi_4$ for some $\varphi_3, \varphi_4 \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$, then $\frac{1}{2}(\varphi_1 + \varphi_4) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_2 + \varphi_3)$. These are elements of $CS_r(\mathcal{V})$ and so $F_r^{(n)}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\varphi_1 + \varphi_4)\right) = F_r^{(n)}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\varphi_2 + \varphi_3)\right)$. From the fact that $\mathbf{F}^{(n)}$ is matrix affine it now follows that $F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_1) - F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_2) = F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_3) - F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_4)$. Thus $\rho^{(n)}_*(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}) = (\rho^{(n)}_{r,1}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}))$ is well-defined.

For $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \in SB^2_r(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$, we have

$$\|\rho^{(n)}_{r,1}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi)\| = \|F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_1) - F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_2)\| \leq L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})Q_r(\varphi).$$

If $t \in [-1, 1]$ and $f = \varphi - \psi \in SB^2_r(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $\varphi, \psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$, we have that $tf = \mathrm{sgn}(t)(\varphi - (|t|\psi + (1 - |t|)\varphi)) \in SB^2_r(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^{(n)}_{r,1}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(tf) &= \rho^{(n)}_{r,1}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\mathrm{sgn}(t)(\varphi - (|t|\psi + (1 - |t|)\varphi))) \\
&= \mathrm{sgn}(t)[F_r^{(n)}(\varphi) - F_r^{(n)}(|t|\psi + (1 - |t|)\varphi)] \\
&= \mathrm{sgn}(t)[F_r^{(n)}(\varphi) - |t|F_r^{(n)}(\psi) - (1 - |t|)F_r^{(n)}(\varphi)] \\
&= \mathrm{sgn}(t)|t|(F_r^{(n)}(\varphi) - F_r^{(n)}(\psi)) = t\rho^{(n)}_{r,1}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $f = \varphi_1 - \psi_1$ and $g = \varphi_2 - \psi_2 \in SB^2_r(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$ and $f + g \in SB^2_r(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^{(n)}_{r,1}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f + g) &= 2\rho^{(n)}_{r,1}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\tfrac{f+g}{2}) \\
&= 2(F_r^{(n)}(\tfrac{\varphi_1+\varphi_2}{2}) - F_r^{(n)}(\tfrac{\psi_1+\psi_2}{2})) \\
&= F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_1) + F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_2) - F_r^{(n)}(\psi_1) - F_r^{(n)}(\psi_2) \\
&= \rho^{(n)}_{r,1}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f) + \rho^{(n)}_{r,1}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(g).
\end{aligned}$$

If $f \in \mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$, then there is a $t > 0$ such that $tf \in SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. Set

$$\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f) = t^{-1}\rho_{r,1}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(tf).$$

Then it is clear that $\rho_{**}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}) = (\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}))$ is well-defined and

$$\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(sf) = s\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f),$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. If $f = t_1(\varphi_1 - \psi_1) \in \mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $g = t_2(\varphi_2 - \psi_2) \in \mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$ and $t_1, t_2 > 0$, we may assume that $t_1, t_2 > 1$, and then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f+g) &= \rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})((t_1\varphi_1 + t_2\varphi_2) - (t_1\psi_1 + t_2\psi_2)) \\
&= \rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})\left((t_1+t_2)\left(\tfrac{t_1\varphi_1+t_2\varphi_2}{t_1+t_2} - \tfrac{t_1\psi_1+t_2\psi_2}{t_1+t_2}\right)\right) \\
&= (t_1+t_2)\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})\left(\tfrac{1}{t_1+t_2}f + \tfrac{1}{t_1+t_2}g\right) \\
&= (t_1+t_2)\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})\left(\tfrac{1}{t_1+t_2}f\right) + (t_1+t_2)\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})\left(\tfrac{1}{t_1+t_2}g\right) \\
&= \rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f) + \rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(g).
\end{aligned}$$

For $f = tf_1 \in \mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $t \geq 1$ and $f_1 \in SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$, we have

$$\|\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f)\| = \|t\rho_{r,1}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f_1)\| \leq tL_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})Q_r(f_1) = L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})Q_r(f).$$

Now for $f = f_1 + if_2 \in \mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) + i\mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$, we define

$$\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f) = \rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f_1) + i\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f_2).$$

Obviously, $\rho^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}) = (\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})$ is well-defined.

Proposition 6.8. $\rho_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{W}, M_n) = M_n(\mathcal{W}^*)$.

Proof. If $\alpha = \alpha_1 + i\alpha_2$ with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f = f_1 + if_2$, $g = g_1 + ig_2 \in \mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) + i\mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ with $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\alpha f + g) \\
=\ &\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\alpha_1 f_1 - \alpha_2 f_2 + g_1) + i\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\alpha_1 f_2 + \alpha_2 f_1 + g_2) \\
=\ &\alpha\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f) + \rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(g).
\end{aligned}$$

For $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$ and $\alpha \in M_{r,m}$ with $\alpha^*\alpha \leq 1_m$, denoting $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \sqrt{1_m - \alpha^*\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$, we have

$$\alpha^*(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)\alpha = \gamma^* \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0_m \end{bmatrix} \gamma = \gamma^* \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_\rho^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} \gamma - \gamma^* \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_\rho^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} \gamma.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_m^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\alpha^*(\varphi_1-\varphi_2)\alpha)\\
=\;& F_m^{(n)}\left(\gamma^*\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_1 & 0\\ 0 & \Phi_\rho^{(m)}\end{bmatrix}\gamma\right) - F_m^{(n)}\left(\gamma^*\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_2 & 0\\ 0 & \Phi_\rho^{(m)}\end{bmatrix}\gamma\right)\\
=\;& (\gamma\otimes 1_n)^* F_{m+r}^{(n)}\left(\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_1 & 0\\ 0 & \Phi_\rho^{(m)}\end{bmatrix}\right)(\gamma\otimes 1_n)\\
& -(\gamma\otimes 1_n)^* F_{m+r}^{(n)}\left(\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_2 & 0\\ 0 & \Phi_\rho^{(m)}\end{bmatrix}\right)(\gamma\otimes 1_n)\\
=\;& (\gamma\otimes 1_n)^* F_{m+r}^{(n)}\left(\xi_1\varphi_1\xi_1^* + \xi_2\Phi_\rho^{(m)}\xi_2^*\right)(\gamma\otimes 1_n)\\
& -(\gamma\otimes 1_n)^* F_{m+r}^{(n)}\left(\xi_1\varphi_2\xi_1^* + \xi_2\Phi_\rho^{(m)}\xi_2^*\right)(\gamma\otimes 1_n)\\
=\;& (\gamma\otimes 1_n)^*\Big((\xi_1\otimes 1_n)F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_1)(\xi_1\otimes 1_n)^*\\
& +(\xi_2\otimes 1_n)F_m^{(n)}(\Phi_\rho^{(m)})(\xi_2\otimes 1_n)^*\Big)(\gamma\otimes 1_n)\\
& -(\gamma\otimes 1_n)^*\Big((\xi_1\otimes 1_n)F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_2)(\xi_1\otimes 1_n)^*\\
& +(\xi_2\otimes 1_n)F_m^{(n)}(\Phi_\rho^{(m)})(\xi_2\otimes 1_n)^*\Big)(\gamma\otimes 1_n)\\
=\;& (\alpha\otimes 1_n)^* F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_1)(\alpha\otimes 1_n) - (\alpha\otimes 1_n)^* F_r^{(n)}(\varphi_2)(\alpha\otimes 1_n)\\
=\;& (\alpha\otimes 1_n)^*\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi_1-\varphi_2)(\alpha\otimes 1_n),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_1 = \begin{bmatrix}1_r\\ 0_{m,r}\end{bmatrix}$ and $\xi_2 = \begin{bmatrix}0_{r,m}\\ 1_m\end{bmatrix}$. So for $\varphi\in SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\alpha\in M_{r,m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_m^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\alpha^*\varphi\alpha) &= (1+\|\alpha\|)^2\rho_m^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})\left(\tfrac{\alpha^*}{\|\alpha\|+1}\varphi\tfrac{\alpha}{\|\alpha\|+1}\right)\\
&= (\alpha\otimes 1_n)^*\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi)(\alpha\otimes 1_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi\in SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\alpha\in M_{m,r}$, $\beta\in M_{r,m}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha\varphi\beta &= \tfrac{1}{2}((\alpha^*+\beta)^*\varphi(\alpha^*+\beta) - i(\alpha^*+i\beta)^*\varphi(\alpha^*+i\beta)\\
&\quad -\alpha\varphi\alpha^* - \beta^*\varphi\beta + i\alpha\varphi\alpha^* + i\beta^*\varphi\beta),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_m^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\alpha\varphi\beta)\\
=\;& \tfrac{1}{2}(((\alpha^*+\beta)\otimes 1_n)^*\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi)((\alpha^*+\beta)\otimes 1_n)\\
& -i((\alpha^*+i\beta)\otimes 1_n)^*\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi)((\alpha^*+i\beta)\otimes 1_n)\\
& -(\alpha\otimes 1_n)\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi)(\alpha\otimes 1_n)^* - (\beta\otimes 1_n)^*\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi)(\beta\otimes 1_n)\\
& +i(\alpha\otimes 1_n)\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi)(\alpha\otimes 1_n)^* + i(\beta\otimes 1_n)^*\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi)(\beta\otimes 1_n))\\
=\;& (\alpha\otimes 1_n)\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi)(\beta\otimes 1_n).
\end{aligned}$$

So for $f = f_1 + if_2 \in \mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) + i\mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\alpha\in M_{m,r}$, $\beta\in M_{r,m}$, we have that $\alpha f\beta = \alpha f_1\beta + i\alpha f_2\beta$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_m^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\alpha f\beta)\\
=\;& (\alpha\otimes 1_n)\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f_1)(\beta\otimes 1_n) + i(\alpha\otimes 1_n)\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f_2)(\beta\otimes 1_n)\\
=\;& (\alpha\otimes 1_n)\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f)(\beta\otimes 1_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $f = [f_{ij}] \in M_r((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*) = \mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) + i\mathbb{R}SB_r^2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f) = \rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}) \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^r e_i^* f_{ij} e_j\right) \\ = & \sum_{i,j=1}^r \rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(e_i^* f_{ij} e_j) = \sum_{i,j=1}^r (e_i \otimes 1_n)^* \rho_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f_{ij})(e_j \otimes 1_n) \\ = & [\rho_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f_{ij})] = \ll \rho_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}), [f_{ij}] \gg = \ll \rho_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}), f \gg, \end{aligned}$$

where e_i is the $1 \times r$ matrix $[a_{1,k}]$ with $a_{1,i} = 1$ and $a_{1,k} = 0$ for $k \neq i$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \ll \rho_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}), f \gg \| = \|\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f)\| \\ \leq & L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(Q_r(\mathrm{Re}f) + Q_r(\mathrm{Im}f)) \leq 2L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})Q_r(f) \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in M_r(\mathcal{W})$. It follows that $\rho_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{W}, M_n) = M_n(\mathcal{W}^*)$. □

By Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_n^d(\rho_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})) \\ = & \sup\{\| \ll \rho_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}), f \gg \| : Q_r(f) \leq 1, f^* = f \in M_r(\mathcal{W}), r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ = & \sup\{\|\rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f)\| : Q_r(f) \leq 1, f^* = f \in M_r(\mathcal{W}), r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ = & \sup\{\|\rho_{r,2}^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(f)\| : Q_r(f) \leq 1, f^* = f \in M_r(\mathcal{W}), r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \leq & L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{K}_n = K_n/M_n(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})$. Since $L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}) = 0$ for $\mathbf{F}^{(n)} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})$, $\tilde{L}_{D_n}(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(n)}) = L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})$ is well-defined for $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(n)} \in \tilde{K}_n$. $\rho_1^{(n)}(M_n(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})) = 0_n$ implies that $\rho_1^{(n)}$ determines a linear mapping $\tilde{\rho}_1^{(n)}$ from $(\tilde{K}_n, \tilde{L}_{D_n})$ to $(M_n(\mathcal{W}^*), Q_n^d)$ and $Q_n^d(\tilde{\rho}_1^{(n)}(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(n)})) \leq \tilde{L}_{D_n}(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(n)})$.

Let $\sigma^{(n)}$ be the mapping, defined in Proposition 6.6, from $M_n(\mathcal{W}^*)$ to $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n)$. Let $\tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}$ denote $\sigma^{(n)}$ composed with the mapping from $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n)$ to $A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_n)/M_n(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})$. Then $\tilde{L}_{D_n}(\tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}(g)) \leq Q_n^d(g)$ for $g \in M_n(\mathcal{W}^*)$. For $\mathbf{F}^{(n)} = (F_r^{(n)}) \in K_n$, $\varphi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_r^{(n)}(\rho_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}))(\varphi) = \ll \rho_1^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}), \varphi - \Phi_\rho^{(r)} \gg \\ = & \rho_r^{(n)}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})(\varphi - \Phi_\rho^{(r)}) = F_r^{(n)}(\varphi) - F_r^{(n)}(\Phi_\rho^{(r)}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}(\tilde{\rho}_1^{(n)}(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(n)})) = \tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(n)}$. Similarly, for $g = t[(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) + i(\varphi_3 - \varphi_4)] \in M_n(\mathcal{W}^*)$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4 \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$ and $f \in M_r(\mathcal{W})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll \tilde{\rho}_1^{(n)}(\tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}(g)), f \gg = \tilde{\rho}_r^{(n)}(\tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}(g))(f) \\ = & t\left[\left(\sigma_r^{(n)}(g)(\varphi_1) - \sigma_r^{(n)}(g)(\varphi_2)\right) + i\left(\sigma_r^{(n)}(g)(\varphi_3) - \sigma_r^{(n)}(g)(\varphi_4)\right)\right] \\ = & t \ll g, \varphi_1 - \Phi_\rho^{(r)} \gg - t \ll g, \varphi_2 - \Phi_\rho^{(r)} \gg \\ & + it \ll g, \varphi_3 - \Phi_\rho^{(r)} \gg - it \ll g, \varphi_4 - \Phi_\rho^{(r)} \gg \\ = & \ll g, f \gg, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\tilde{\rho}_1^{(n)}(\tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}(g)) = g$. Therefore,

Proposition 6.9. *$\tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}$ is an isometric isomorphism of $(M_n(\mathcal{W}^*), Q_n^d)$ onto $(\tilde{K}_n, \tilde{L}_{D_n})$ with inverse $\tilde{\rho}^{(n)}$.*

Theorem 6.10. *$\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{D} = (L_{D_n})$ is a lower semicontinuous matrix Lip-norm on $(K_1, I_1^{(1)})$, and*

$$D_n(\varphi, \psi) = L_{D_n}^c(\varphi - \psi)$$

for $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For $\mathbf{F}^{(n)} \in K_n$ and $\mathbf{G}^{(n)} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{I})$, we have $L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)}+\mathbf{G}^{(n)}) = L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})$, and hence $\tilde{L}_{D_n}(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(n)}) = L_{D_n}(\mathbf{F}^{(n)})$. Now, for $g = t[(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) + i(\varphi_3 - \varphi_4)] \in M_n((\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*)$ with $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4 \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L^c_{D_n}(g) &= \sup\{\|t[(F_n^{(r)}(\varphi_1) - F_n^{(r)}(\varphi_2)) + i(F_n^{(r)}(\varphi_3) - F_n^{(r)}(\varphi_4))]\| : \\
&\quad L_{D_r}(\mathbf{F}^{(r)}) \leq 1, \mathbf{F}^{(r)} \in M_r(A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}))), r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&= \sup\{\|\rho_n^{(r)}(\mathbf{F}^{(r)})(g)\| : L_{D_r}(\mathbf{F}^{(r)}) \leq 1, \mathbf{F}^{(r)} \in A(\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V}), M_r), r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&= \sup\{\|\rho_n^{(r)}(\mathbf{F}^{(r)})(g)\| : L_{D_r}(\mathbf{F}^{(r)}) \leq 1, \mathbf{F}^{(r)} \in K_r, r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&= \sup\{\|\tilde{\rho}_n^{(r)}(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)})(g)\| : \tilde{L}_{D_r}(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}) \leq 1, \tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)} \in \tilde{K}_r, r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&= \sup\{\|\tilde{\rho}_n^{(r)}(\tilde{\sigma}^{(r)}(h))(g)\| : Q_r^d(h) \leq 1, h \in M_r(\mathcal{W}^*), r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&= \sup\{\| \ll h, g \gg \| : Q_r^d(h) \leq 1, h \in M_r(\mathcal{W}^*), r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&= Q_n(g)
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 6.6. So for $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, we have

$$L^c_{D_n}(\varphi - \psi) = Q_n(\varphi - \psi) = D_n(\varphi, \psi),$$

and thus by proposition 6.7, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}} = (L_{D_n})$ is a lower semicontinuous matrix Lip-norm on $(K_1, I_1^{(1)})$. □

Definition 6.11. By a *matrix Lipschitz gauge* on a complete matrix order unit space $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ we mean a matrix gauge $\mathcal{G} = (G_n)$ on $\mathcal{V}$ such that

(1) the null space of each G_n is $M_n(\mathbb{C}1)$;
(2) $G_n(v^*) = G_n(v)$ for any $v \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$;
(3) $\{v \in \mathcal{V} : G_1(v) < +\infty\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{V}$.

A *matrix Lip-gauge* can be defined similarly.

If $\mathcal{G} = (G_n)$ on $\mathcal{V}$ is a matrix Lipschitz gauge on a complete matrix order unit space $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$, we can similarly define a matrix metric $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}} = (D_{G_n})$ on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ by:

$$D_{G_n}(\varphi, \psi) = \sup\{\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| : a \in M_r(\mathcal{V}), G_r(a) \leq 1, r \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$(They also may take value $+\infty$). Given, on the other hand, a matrix metric $\mathcal{D} = (D_n)$ on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$, we can also define a matrix gauge $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}} = (G_{D_n})$ on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ by

$$G_{D_n}(a) = \sup\left\{\frac{\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \|}{D_r(\varphi, \psi)} : \varphi, \psi \in CS_r(\mathcal{V}), \varphi \neq \psi, r \in \mathbb{N}\right\},$$

for all $a \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$.

Theorem 6.12. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a complete matrix order unit space, and let $\mathcal{D} = (D_n)$ be a matrix metric on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ such that the $\mathcal{D}$-topology on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ agrees with the BW-topology. Then $\mathcal{D}$ comes from a lower semicontinuous matrix Lip-gauge $\mathcal{G} = (G_n)$ on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$, via the relation*

$$D_n(\varphi, \psi) = D_{G_n}(\varphi, \psi), \text{ for } \varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V}), n \in \mathbb{N},$$

if and only if $\mathcal{D}$ is convex, midpoint balanced, and midpoint concave.

Proof. If $\mathcal{D}$ comes from a lower semicontinuous matrix Lip-gauge $\mathcal{G} = (G_n)$ on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ via the relation $D_n(\varphi, \psi) = D_{G_n}(\varphi, \psi)$ for $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, it is clear that $\mathcal{D}$ is convex, midpoint balanced, and midpoint concave. And the remain follows from Theorem 6.10. □

7. The pre-dual of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$

Suppose $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ is a matrix order unit space with operator space norm $\|\cdot\| = (\|\cdot\|_n)$. Let $(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, 1)$ denote the completion of $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ for $\|\cdot\|_1$. Given a matrix Lipschitz seminorm $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$, we will denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{L}^1}$ the norm closure of $\mathcal{L}^1$ in $(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, 1)$. Since in the operator space $\mathcal{V}$, a sequence $a^{(k)} = [a_{ij}^{(k)}]$ in $M_n(\mathcal{V})$ converges if and only if the entries $a_{ij}^{(k)}$ converge, we have that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\widehat{L_m^1} \oplus \widehat{L_n^1} \subseteq \widehat{L_{m+n}^1}$ and $\alpha \widehat{L_m^1} \beta \subseteq \widehat{L_n^1}$ for any contractions $\alpha \in M_{n,m}$ and $\beta \in M_{m,n}$. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{L}^1}$ is absolutely matrix convex. So the corresponding Minkowski functionals

$$L_n^-(v) = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 :\ v \in \lambda \widehat{L_n^1}\right\}$$

associated with the convex sets $\widehat{L_n^1}$ determine a matrix gauge $\mathcal{L}^- = (L_n^-)$ on $(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, 1)$(see page 171 in [8]). $\widehat{\mathcal{L}^1}$ is closed implies that $\mathcal{L}^-$ is lower semicontinuous.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ be a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on a matrix order unit space $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$. Then*

1. *$\mathcal{L}$ is lower semicontinuous if and only if $\mathcal{L}^-$ is an extension of $\mathcal{L}$;*
2. *$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}^-} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\mathcal{CS}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}) = \mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is lower semicontinuous and $a \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$. If $L_n(a) \leq 1$, then $a \in L_n^1 \subseteq \widehat{L_n^1}$, and so clearly $L_n^-(a) \leq 1$. If $L_n^-(a) \leq 1$, then $a \in \widehat{L_n^1}$. Thus there is a sequence $\{a_k\}$ in L_n^1 which converges to a. From the lower semicontinuity of L_n it follows that $L_n(a) \leq 1$. So $L_n(a) = L_n^-(a)$ for $a \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{L}^-$ is an extension of $\mathcal{L}$, then for any $t > 0$, $L_n^t = \{a \in M_n(\mathcal{V}) : L_n(a) \leq t\} = \{a \in M_n(\mathcal{V}) : L_n^-(a) \leq t\} = L_n^{-t} \cap M_n(\mathcal{V})$, and hence L_n^t is closed in $M_n(\mathcal{V})$.

(2) If $\varphi, \psi \in CS_n(\mathcal{V})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{L_n^-}(\varphi, \psi) &= \sup\{\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| : a \in \widehat{L_r^1}, r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \sup\{\| \ll \varphi, a \gg - \ll \psi, a \gg \| : a \in L_r^1, r \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= D_{L_n}(\varphi, \psi), \end{aligned}$$

since $CS_n(\overline{\mathcal{V}}) = CS_n(\mathcal{V})$. □

Definition 7.2. Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a matrix order unit space, and let $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ be a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$. The matrix gauge $\mathcal{L}^- = (L_n^-)$ on $(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, 1)$ is called the *closure* of $\mathcal{L}$. We say that a matrix Lipschitz seminorm $\mathcal{L}$ is *closed* if $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^-$ on the subspace where $\mathcal{L}^-$ is finite.

Lemma 7.3. *For $b \in M_n(\overline{\mathcal{V}})$, $L_n^-(b) \leq 1$ if and only if $b \in \widehat{L_n^1}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $L_n^-(b) \leq 1$ if $b \in \widehat{L_n^1}$. Now suppose that $L_n^-(b) \leq 1$. Then there is a sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $L_n^-(b) \leq \lambda_k \leq L_n^-(b) + \frac{1}{k}$ and $b \in \lambda_k \widehat{L_n^1}$. Let $b = \lambda_k b_k$ for some $b_k \in \widehat{L_n^1}$ and let $c_k \in L_n^1$ such that $\|b_k - c_k\|_n \leq \frac{1}{k}$. Then

$$\|b - \lambda_k c_k\|_n = \lambda_k \|b_k - c_k\|_n \leq \frac{1}{k}\left(L_n^-(b) + \frac{1}{k}\right) \to 0, \quad (k \to +\infty).$$

If $L_n^-(b) = 0$, then $L_n(\lambda_k c_k) = \lambda_k L_n(c_k) \leq k^{-1} \leq 1$, and hence $b = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_k c_k \in \widehat{L_n^1}$. If $L_n^-(b) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|b - L_n^-(b)c_k\|_n &\leq \|b - \lambda_k c_k\|_n + \|(\lambda_k - L_n^-(b))c_k\|_n \\ &= \lambda_k \|b_k - c_k\|_n + (1 - L_n^-(b)\lambda_k^{-1})\|\lambda_k c_k\|_n \\ &\leq \tfrac{1}{k}(L_n^-(b) + \tfrac{1}{k}) + (kL_n^-(b))^{-1}\|\lambda_k c_k\|_n \\ &\to 0 \quad (k \to +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

So $b = \lim_{k\to\infty} L_n^-(b)c_k$. Since $L_n(L_n^-(b)c_k) = L_n^-(b)L_n(c_k) \leq 1$, we have that $b \in \widehat{L_n^1}$. □

Lemma 7.4. *$\mathcal{L}$ is closed if and only if $\widehat{L_n^1} = L_n^1$.*

Proof. If $\widehat{L_n^1} = L_n^1$, then for $b \in M_n(\overline{\mathcal{V}})$ with $L_n^-(b) < +\infty$, we have that $b \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$ and $L_n^-(b) = \inf\left\{r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : b \in r\widehat{L_n^1}\right\} = \inf\{r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : b \in rL_n^1\} = L_n(b)$. Conversely, assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is closed. Then for $b \in \widehat{L_n^1}$ we have that $L_n^-(b) \leq 1$ by Lemma 7.3. Hence $b \in M_n(\mathcal{V})$ and $L_n(b) = L_n^-(b) \leq 1$. So $b \in L_n^1$. Therefore, $\widehat{L_n^1} = L_n^1$. □

Suppose $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ is a matrix order unit space with operator space norm $\|\cdot\| = (\|\cdot\|_n)$ and $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ be a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on it. Denote $B_n^t = \{a \in M_n(\mathcal{V}) : L_n(a) \leq 1, \|a\|_n \leq t\}$ for $t \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $\mathbf{B}^t = (B_n^t)$ is totally bounded for $\|\cdot\|$ if each B_n^t is totally bounded for $\|\cdot\|_n$. $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}} = (D_{L_n})$ is bounded if every D_{L_n} is bounded. Clearly, $\mathbf{B}^t$ is totally bounded for $\|\cdot\|$ if and only if B_1^t is totally bounded for $\|\cdot\|_1$.

Proposition 7.5. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a matrix order unit space with operator space norm $\|\cdot\| = (\|\cdot\|_n)$ and $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ be a matrix Lipschitz seminorm on it. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$-topology on $\mathcal{CS}(\mathcal{V})$ agrees with the BW-topology.*
2. *The image of L_1^1 in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is totally bounded for $\|\cdot\|_1^\sim$.*
3. *D_{L_1} is bounded and B_1^1 is totally bounded in $\mathcal{V}$ for $\|\cdot\|_1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.3 in [18], (1) and (2) are equivalent. The equivalence of (3) and (2) follows from Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.9 in [13] and Proposition 3.1 in [18]. □

Let $(\mathcal{V}, 1)$ be a matrix order unit space, and let $\mathcal{L} = (L_n)$ be a matrix Lip-norm on it which is closed. Denote

$$K_n = \{\tilde{a} \in M_n(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) : \tilde{L}_n(\tilde{a}) \leq 1\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\mathbf{K} = (K_n)$ is an absolutely matrix convex set in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. Because $\mathcal{L}$ is closed, the totally bounded set B_n^t are complete for $\|\cdot\|_n$ by Lemma 7.4 and Proposition 7.5, so are compact. By Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 5.2 in [18], there is a sequence $\{r_n\}$ of positive constants such that

$$\|\tilde{a}\|_n^\sim \leq r_n \tilde{L}_n(\tilde{a}), \quad \text{for } a \in M_n(\mathcal{V}) \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now we see that there is a $t_n > 0$ such that

$$K_n = \{\tilde{a} \in M_n(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) : L_n(a) \leq 1, \|a\|_n \leq t_n\} = \{\tilde{a} \in M_n(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) : a \in B_n^{t_n}\}.$$

Since the quotient mapping $\pi_n : (M_n(\mathcal{V}), \|\cdot\|_n) \longmapsto (M_n(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}), \|\cdot\|_n^\sim)$ is a contraction, the image $\pi_n(B_n^t)$ of the compact set B_n^t is compact. So K_n is compact for $\|\cdot\|_n^\sim$.

Set

$$G_n = \{\tilde{a} \in M_n(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) : \tilde{a}^* = \tilde{a}, \tilde{L}_n(\tilde{a}) \leq 1\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\mathbf{G} = (G_n)$ is a matrix convex set in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$. The involution in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ preserves the matrix norm $\|\cdot\|^\sim$ implies that $\mathbf{G}$ is also compact for $\|\cdot\|^\sim$. For $\tilde{a} \in K_n$, we have

$$\tilde{a} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \tilde{a} \\ \tilde{a}^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So by Lemma 3.2 in [8], $\mathbf{K}$ is the smallest absolutely matrix convex set containing $\mathbf{G}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} K_n &= \Big\{ \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j g_j \beta_j : \alpha_j \in M_{n,n_j}, g_j \in G_{n_j}, \beta \in M_{n_j,n}, \\ &\quad \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \alpha_j^* \leq 1_n, \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^* \beta_j \leq 1_n, m \in \mathbb{N} \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$A_0(\mathbf{G}) = \{\mathbf{F} = (F_n) \in A(\mathbf{G}) : F_n(\tilde{0}_n) = 0_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For $\mathbf{F}^{(k)} = (F_n^{(k)}) \in M_k(A_0(\mathbf{G})) \cong A_0(\mathbf{G}, M_k)$, we define $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(k)} = (\tilde{F}_n^{(k)})$ on $\mathbf{K}$ by

$$\tilde{F}_n^{(k)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j g_j \beta_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha_j \otimes 1_k) F_{n_j}^{(k)}(g_j)(\beta_j \otimes 1_k),$$

where $\alpha_j \in M_{n,n_j}$, $g_j \in G_{n_j}$, $\beta_j \in M_{n_j,n}$, $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \alpha_j^* \leq 1_n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^* \beta_j \leq 1_n$. If $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j g_j \beta_j = \tilde{0}_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2} \left((\alpha_j^* + \beta_j)^* g_j (\alpha_j^* + \beta_j) - \alpha_j g_j \alpha_j^* - \beta_j^* g_j \beta_j \right) \\ &-i \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2} \left((\alpha_j^* + i\beta_j)^* g_j (\alpha_j^* + i\beta_j) - \alpha_j g_j \alpha_j^* - \beta_j^* g_j \beta_j \right) = \tilde{0}_n, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2} \left((\alpha_j^* + \beta_j)^* g_j (\alpha_j^* + \beta_j) - \alpha_j g_j \alpha_j^* - \beta_j^* g_j \beta_j \right) = \tilde{0}_n,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2} \left((\alpha_j^* + i\beta_j)^* g_j (\alpha_j^* + i\beta_j) - \alpha_j g_j \alpha_j^* - \beta_j^* g_j \beta_j \right) = \tilde{0}_n.$$

So

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2(a+1)} (\alpha_j^* + \beta_j)^* g_j (\alpha_j^* + \beta_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{2(a+1)} \alpha_j g_j \alpha_j^* + \frac{1}{2(a+1)} \beta_j^* g_j \beta_j \right),$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2(b+1)} (\alpha_j^* + i\beta_j)^* g_j (\alpha_j^* + i\beta_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{2(b+1)} \alpha_j g_j \alpha_j^* + \frac{1}{2(b+1)} \beta_j^* g_j \beta_j \right),$$

where $a = \| \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_j^* + \beta_j)^* (\alpha_j^* + \beta_j) \|$ and $b = \| \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_j^* + i\beta_j)^* (\alpha_j^* + i\beta_j) \|$. Since $\tilde{0}_n \in G_n$ and $\mathbf{F}^{(k)} \in A_0(\mathbf{G}, M_k)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2(a+1)} \left((\alpha_j^* + \beta_j)^* \otimes 1_k \right) F_{n_j}^{(k)}(g_j) \left((\alpha_j^* + \beta_j) \otimes 1_k \right) \\ = \; &\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^m \Big(\frac{1}{2(a+1)} (\alpha_j \otimes 1_k) F_{n_j}^{(k)}(g_j)(\alpha_j^* \otimes 1_k) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(a+1)} (\beta_j^* \otimes 1_k) F_{n_j}^{(k)}(g_j)(\beta_j \otimes 1_k) \Big), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^m \tfrac{1}{2(b+1)} \left((\alpha_j^* + i\beta_j)^* \otimes 1_k\right) F_{n_j}^{(k)}(g_j) \left((\alpha_j^* + i\beta_j) \otimes 1_k\right) \\ = \; & \sum_{j=1}^m \Big(\tfrac{1}{2(b+1)} (\alpha_j \otimes 1_k) F_{n_j}^{(k)}(g_j) (\alpha_j^* \otimes 1_k) \\ + \; & \tfrac{1}{2(b+1)} (\beta_j^* \otimes 1_k) F_{n_j}^{(k)}(g_j) (\beta_j \otimes 1_k) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

From these, we see that $\sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha_j \otimes 1_k) F_{n_j}^{(k)}(g_j)(\beta_j \otimes 1_k) = \tilde{0}_{nk}$. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(k)}$ is well-defined.

Proposition 7.6. *Denote $A_0(\mathbf{K}) = \{\tilde{\mathbf{F}} : \mathbf{F} \in A_0(\mathbf{G})\}$. Then for $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(k)} \in M_k(A_0(\mathbf{K}))$, $k_i \in K_{n_i}$ and $\alpha_i \in M_{n,n_i}$, $\beta_i \in M_{n_i,n}$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_i^* \leq 1_n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i^* \beta_i \leq 1_n$, we have*

$$\tilde{F}_n^{(k)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i k_i \beta_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i \otimes 1_k) \tilde{F}_{n_i}^{(k)}(k_i)(\beta_i \otimes 1_k).$$

In particular, $A_0(\mathbf{K}) \subseteq A(\mathbf{K})$.

Proof. Direct verification according to definition. □

Proposition 7.7. *For $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(k)} \in M_k(A_0(\mathbf{K}))$, we define*

$$\check{F}_n^{(k)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i k_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \tilde{F}_n^{(k)}(k_i)$$

for $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $k_i \in K_n$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. Then:

1. *$\check{F}_n^{(k)}(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i k_i \beta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i \otimes 1_k) \check{F}_{n_i}^{(k)}(k_i)(\beta_i \otimes 1_k)$ for $k_i \in K_{n_i}$ and $\alpha_i \in M_{n,n_i}$, $\beta_i \in M_{n_i,n}$;*
2. *If we denote $L_0(\mathbf{K}) = \{\check{\mathbf{F}} : \tilde{\mathbf{F}} \in A_0(\mathbf{K})\}$ and still view $L_0(\mathbf{K})$ as equipped with the operator space norm $\|\cdot\|^\sharp = (\|\cdot\|_n^\sharp)$ determined by the matrix order structure on $A(\mathbf{K})$, then*

$$\|\check{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}\|_r^\sharp = \sup\{\|\tilde{F}_n^{(r)}(\tilde{a})\| : \tilde{a} \in K_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

for $\mathbf{F}^{(r)} = (F_n^{(r)}) \in A_0(\mathbf{G}, M_r)$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i k_i = \sum_{j=1}^l \mu_j h_j$ with $k_i, h_j \in K_n$ and $\lambda_i, \mu_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, l$. Let $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^l \mu_j = a > 0$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{a} k_i = \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{\mu_j}{a} h_j$. Since $\tilde{0}_n \in K_n$, $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{a} \tilde{F}_n^{(k)}(k_i) = \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{\mu_j}{a} \tilde{F}_n^{(k)}(h_j)$ by Proposition 7.6, that is, $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \tilde{F}_n^{(k)}(k_i) = \sum_{j=1}^l \mu_j \tilde{F}_n^{(k)}(h_j)$. Thus $\check{\mathbf{F}}^{(k)} = (\check{F}_n^{(k)})$ is well-defined.

For $k_i \in K_{n_i}$ and $\alpha_i \in M_{n,n_i}$, $\beta_i \in M_{n_i,n}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, we denote

$$\max\left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_i^* \right\|, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i^* \beta_i \right\| \right\} = b.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{b+1}} \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{b+1}} \right)^* \leq 1_n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\beta_i}{\sqrt{b+1}} \right)^* \frac{\beta_i}{\sqrt{b+1}} \leq 1_n.$$

By Proposition 7.6,

$$\begin{aligned}\check{F}_n^{(k)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i k_i \beta_i\right) &= \check{F}_n^{(k)}\left((b+1)\sum_{i=1}^m \tfrac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{b+1}} k_i \tfrac{\beta_i}{\sqrt{b+1}}\right)\\ &= (b+1)\tilde{F}_n^{(k)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \tfrac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{b+1}} k_i \tfrac{\beta_i}{\sqrt{b+1}}\right)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i \otimes 1_k)\tilde{F}_n^{(k)}(k_i)(\beta_i \otimes 1_k)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i \otimes 1_k)\check{F}_n^{(k)}(k_i)(\beta_i \otimes 1_k).\end{aligned}$$

This proves (1).

For $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)} \in A_0(\mathbf{K}, M_r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\check{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}\|_r^\sharp &= \|\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}\|_r^\sharp = \inf\left\{t>0 : \begin{bmatrix} t\mathbf{I}^{(r)} & \tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)*} & t\mathbf{I}^{(r)}\end{bmatrix} \geq 0\right\}\\ &= \inf\left\{t>0 : \begin{bmatrix} t(1_n\otimes 1_r) & \tilde{F}_n^{(r)}(\tilde{a}) \\ \tilde{F}_n^{(r)}(\tilde{a})^* & t(1_n\otimes 1_r)\end{bmatrix} \geq 0, \tilde{a}\in K_n, n\in\mathbb{N}\right\}\\ &= \sup\{\|\tilde{F}_n^{(r)}(\tilde{a})\| : \tilde{a}\in K_n, n\in\mathbb{N}\},\end{aligned}$$

and (2) follows. □

Theorem 7.8. *Let $(\mathcal{V},1)$ be a matrix order unit space, and let $\mathcal{L}=(L_n)$ be a matrix Lip-norm on $(\mathcal{V},1)$ which is closed. Denote*

$$K_n = \{\tilde{a}\in M_n(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) : \tilde{L}_n(\tilde{a})\leq 1\},$$

and set $\mathbf{K}=(K_n)$. Then $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}},\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is naturally completely isometrically isomorphic to the dual operator space of $A_0(\mathbf{K})$.

Proof. Let $\|\cdot\|^\sharp = (\|\cdot\|_n^\sharp)$ be the operator space norm on $A(\mathbf{K})$.Clearly $A_0(\mathbf{K})$ is a self-adjoint subspace of $A(\mathbf{K})$. Let $A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow$ be the operator space dual of $A_0(\mathbf{K})$ with the dual operator space norm $\|\cdot\|^\uparrow = (\|\cdot\|_n^\uparrow)$. For $\tilde{a}\in\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, we define

$$\tau(\tilde{a})(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}) = \check{F}_1^{(r)}(\tilde{a}),$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}\in M_r(A_0(\mathbf{K}))$. By Proposition 7.7, τ is well-defined and $\tau(\tilde{a})$ is linear. If we choose $t > L_1(\tilde{a})$, then

$$\|\tau(\tilde{a})(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)})\| = \left\|t\tilde{F}_1^{(r)}\left(\frac{\tilde{a}}{t}\right)\right\| \leq t\|\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}\|_r^\sharp,$$

for $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}\in M_r(A_0(\mathbf{K}))$. So $\tau(\tilde{a})\in A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow$ and $\|\tau(\tilde{a})\|_1^\uparrow \leq L_1(\tilde{a})$. From Proposition 7.7, we see that τ is linear.

To see injectivity, let $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*$ be the dual Banach space of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, and then observe that $f\in(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*$ defines an element in $A(\mathbf{K})$ determined by the linear mapping $\tilde{a}\in\tilde{\mathcal{V}}\longmapsto f(\tilde{a})$. If $\tau(\tilde{a})=\tau(\tilde{b})$ for $\tilde{a},\tilde{b}\in\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, then in particular $f(\tilde{a})=f(\tilde{b})$ for all $f\in(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^*$, which again implies that $\tilde{a}=\tilde{b}$, since $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^*$ separates points in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$.

For $\tilde{a}=[\tilde{a}_{ij}]\in M_n(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}\in A_0(\mathbf{K},M_r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_n(\tilde{a})(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}) &= \ll \tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}, [\tau(\tilde{a}_{ij})] \gg = [\ll \tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}, \tau(\tilde{a}_{ij}) \gg]\\ &= [\check{F}_1^{(r)}(\tilde{a}_{ij})] = \check{F}_n^{(r)}(\tilde{a}) = (\tilde{L}_n(\tilde{a})+\epsilon)\tilde{F}_n^{(r)}\left(\tfrac{\tilde{a}}{\tilde{L}_n(\tilde{a})+\epsilon}\right)\end{aligned}$$

for $\epsilon>0$. So $\|\tau_n(\tilde{a})(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)})\|\leq \tilde{L}_n(\tilde{a})\|\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)}\|_r^\sharp$, that is, $\|\tau_n(\tilde{a})\|_n^\uparrow \leq \tilde{L}_n(\tilde{a})$. Especially, $\tau_n(K_n)\subseteq M_n(A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow)_{\|\cdot\|_n^\uparrow\leq 1}$, where $M_n(A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow)_{\|\cdot\|_n^\uparrow\leq 1} = \{f\in M_n(A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow) : \|f\|_n^\uparrow\leq 1\}$. Since for $k_1,k_2\in K_n$,

$$\|(\tau_n(k_1)-\tau_n(k_2))(\check{\mathbf{F}}^{(r)})\| = \|\check{F}_n^{(r)}(k_1)-\check{F}_n^{(r)}(k_2)\|,$$

τ_n is continuous from K_n to $M_n(A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow)_{\|\cdot\|_n^\uparrow \leq 1}$ with the point-norm topology. K_n is compact implies that $\tau_n(K_n)$ must be compact for the point-norm topology.

Assume that $f_0^* = f_0 \in M_n(A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow)_{\|\cdot\|_n^\uparrow \leq 1} \backslash \tau_n(K_n)$. By the matricial separation theorem, there is a continuous linear mapping $\Phi : A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow \longmapsto M_n$ such that

$$\mathrm{Re}\Phi_r(\tau_r(k)) \leq 1_n \otimes 1_r$$

for all $k \in K_r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\mathrm{Re}\Phi_n(f_0) \not\leq 1_n \otimes 1_n.$$

Identifying Φ with $\mathbf{F}^{(n)} \in M_n(A_0(\mathbf{K})) \cong A_0(\mathbf{K}, M_n)$, this means that

$$\mathrm{Re}\mathbf{F}_r^{(n)}(k) \leq 1_n \otimes 1_r$$

for all $k \in K_r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\ll f_0, \mathrm{Re}\mathbf{F}^{(n)} \gg = \mathrm{Re} \ll f_0, \mathbf{F}^{(n)} \gg \not\leq 1_n \otimes 1_n,$$

since f_0 is self-adjiont. It is clear that $\mathrm{Re}\mathbf{F}^{(n)} \in M_n(A_0(\mathbf{K}))$, and the first inequality and Proposition 7.7 say that $\|\mathrm{Re}\mathbf{F}^{(n)}\|_n^\sharp \leq 1$. The second inequality implies that $\|f_0\|_n^\uparrow > 1$, contradicting that $f_0 \in M_n(A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow)_{\|\cdot\|_n^\uparrow \leq 1}$. Now suppose that $f_0 \in M_n(A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow)_{\|\cdot\|_n^\uparrow \leq 1}$. Then $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_0 \\ f_0^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is self-adjoint and belongs to $M_{2n}(A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow)_{\|\cdot\|_{2n}^\uparrow \leq 1}$. So there is an element $\begin{bmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in K_{2n}$ such that

$$\tau_{2n}\left(\begin{bmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_0 \\ f_0^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus $f_0 = \tau_n(k_{12}) \in \tau_n(K_n)$. Therefore, $\tau_n(K_n) = M_n(A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow)_{\|\cdot\|_n^\uparrow \leq 1}$. Consequently τ is a completely isometric isomorphism of $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ onto $(A_0(\mathbf{K})^\uparrow, \|\cdot\|^\uparrow)$. □

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by Shanghai Priority Academic Discipline, China Scholarship Council, and National Natural Science Foundation of China. I would like to thank Marc Rieffel for many helpful discussions and suggestions. I want to thank Hanfeng Li for valuable suggestions and comments.

References

1. W. B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of C^*-algebras*, Acta Math., **123** (1969), 141-224.
2. D. P. Blecher, *The standard dual of an operator space*, Pacific J. Math., **153** (1992), 15–30.
3. M-D. Choi and E. G. Effros, *Injectivity and operator spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., **24** (1977), 156–209.
4. A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
5. A. Connes, *Gravity coupled with matter and the foundation of non-commutative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys., **182** (1996), 155–176.
6. E. G. Effros and Z-J. Ruan, *A new approach to operator spaces*. Canad. Math. Bull., **34** (1991), 329–337.
7. E. G. Effros and Z-J. Ruan, *Operator spaces*. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 23. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
8. E. G. Effros and C. Webster, *Operator analogues of locally convex spaces*, Operator algebras and applications(Samos, 1996), 163–207, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 495, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.
9. E. G. Effros and S. Winkler, *Matrix convexity: operator analogues of the bipolar and Hahn-Banach theorems*, J. Funct. Anal. **144** (1997), 117–152.

10. D. G. Farenick, *Extremal matrix states on operator systems*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **61** (2000), 885–892.
11. R. V. Kadison, *A representation theory for commutative topological algebra*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 7, 1951.
12. V. I. Paulsen, *Completely bounded maps and dilations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 146. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1986.
13. M. A. Rieffel, *Metrics on states from actions of compact groups*, Doc. Math., **3** (1998), 215–229.
14. M. A. Rieffel, *Metrics on state spaces*, Doc. Math., **4** (1999), 559–600.
15. Z-J. Ruan, *Subspaces of C^*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **76** (1988), 217–230.
16. C. Webster and S. Winkler, *The Krein-Milman theorem in operator convexity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **351** (1999), 307–322.
17. G. Wittstock, *On matrix order and convexity*, Functional analysis: surveys and recent results, III (Paderborn, 1983), 175–188, North-Holland Math. Stud., 90, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
18. W. Wu, *Non-commutative metric topology on matrix state space*, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., arXiv:math.OA/0410587

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, P.R. China

E-mail address: wwu@math.ecnu.edu.cn

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840

E-mail address: wwu@math.berkeley.edu